

PitchBook Benchmarks

PRIVATE MARKETS DATA

AS OF Q4 2019



SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

Contents

Introduction	3	Venture capital	25-34	PMEs by vintage	52-53
Methodology	3-4	IRRs by vintage	26-27	Quarterly return	54
Spotlight: Basics of cash flow management: Commitment pacing and cash flow models	5-10	Multiples by vintage	28-31	Fund of funds	55-64
Private capital	12-14	PMEs by vintage	32-33	IRRs by vintage	56-57
Horizon IRRs	13	Quarterly return	34	Multiples by vintage	58-61
Equal-weighted horizon IRRs	14	Real assets	35-44	PMEs by vintage	62-63
Private equity	15-24	IRRs by vintage	36-37	Quarterly return	64
IRRs by vintage	16-17	Multiples by vintage	38-41	Secondaries	65-74
Multiples by vintage	17-21	PMEs by vintage	42-43	IRRs by vintage	66-67
PMEs by vintage	22-23	Quarterly return	44	Multiples by vintage	68-71
Quarterly return	24	Debt	45-54	PMEs by vintage	72-73
		IRRs by vintage	46-47	Quarterly return	74
		Multiples by vintage	48-50		

Introduction

Methodology

PitchBook Benchmarks aim to help both LPs and GPs better understand fund performance relative to broader asset classes and other private market strategies. We present performance through several lenses—including internal rates of return (IRRs) and cash multiples-to provide a holistic view for assessing performance within and between strategies, as well as across vintage years. Furthermore, the returns of private market funds are measured relative to easily accessible public market substitutes using a public market equivalent (PME) metric. Each edition of our Benchmarks also includes a section that highlights a specific aspect of fund performance. In the conclusion to our series on cash flow management, we bring together pieces from prior analyses to introduce new commitment pacing and cash flow models.

In this report, you'll find detailed benchmark statistics across PE, VC, debt, real assets, funds of funds and secondaries strategies. To easily access the supporting data in this PDF, along with benchmark statistics for a host of other sub-strategies and geographies, be sure to download the four accompanying Excel data packs (PE, VC, Debt & Real Assets and Alternative Access Strategies). As transparency is fundamental to our benchmarking efforts, subscribers to the PitchBook Platform can utilize the data packs to gain direct access to all the underlying funds and performance metrics used to calculate our Benchmarks.

Our goal is to provide the most transparent, comprehensive and useful fund performance data for private market professionals. We hope that our Benchmarks prove useful in your practice, and we welcome any and all feedback that may arise as you make your way through our various benchmark groupings. Should there be any additional benchmark categories or data points you would like to see included in the future, please contact us directly at benchmarks@pitchbook.com.

Fund classifications

Private equity	Real as
Buyout	Real est
Growth/expansion	Real est
Mezzanine	Real est
Restructuring/turnaround	Real est
Diversified PE	Real est
	Energy
Venture capital	Infrastr
-	Timber

Data composition

PitchBook's fund returns data is primarily sourced from individual LP reports, serving as the baseline for our estimates of activity across an entire fund. For any given fund, return profiles will vary for LPs due to a range of factors, including fee discounts, timing of commitments and inclusion of co-investments. This granularity of LPreported returns—all available on the PitchBook Platform—provides helpful insight to industry practitioners but results in discrepancies that must be addressed when calculating fund-level returns.

To be included in pooled calculations, a fund must have: (i) at least one LP report within two years of the fund's vintage, and (ii) LP reports in at least 45% of applicable reporting periods. To mitigate discrepancies among multiple LPs reporting, the PitchBook Benchmarks (iii) determine returns for each fund based on data from all LP reports in a given period. For periods that lack an LP report, (iv) a straight-line interpolation calculation is used to populate the missing data; interpolated data is used for approximately 10% of reporting periods, a figure that has been steadily declining.

Beginning with the PitchBook Benchmarks with data as of Q4 2019, we expanded our dataset to include funds with a reported IRR, even if the fund's cash flow data does not meet the pooled calculation criteria.

We strive to maintain consistency from edition to edition of PitchBook Benchmarks, but fund classifications will change occasionally, and new funds will be incorporated into the dataset as we gather additional information.

All returns data in this report is net of fees and carry.

SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

assets estate core estate core plus estate distressed estate opportunistic estate value added

astructure

Mining

Private debt

Direct lending Bridge financing Distressed debt Credit special situations Infrastructure debt Venture debt Real estate debt

Fund of funds

Secondaries

Methodology

Definitions and calculation methodologies

Fund count: Represents how many funds are included in a given sample. Note that some funds in our dataset have a reported IRR but lack sufficient cash flow information to be included in pooled calculations.

Median calculations: Shows the middle data point for a sample group.

Public market index returns: Instances where the return of a public market index is cited, we have calculated the annualized return for the given period. All public indices are total return and denominated in US dollars.

Standard deviation: Calculated using the sample-based standard deviation methodology.

Vintage year: The vintage year is based on the year that a fund makes its first capital call. If the year of the initial call is unknown, the year of the final close is used as the vintage year. However, if a firm publicly declares via press release or a notice on their website a fund to be of a particular vintage different than either of the first conditions, the firm's classification takes precedence.

Quarterly return: The percentage change in aggregate NAV is calculated for each group of funds in a sample, considering contributions and distributions during the quarter. This makes the calculation tantamount to a quarterly compounded growth rate.

Internal rate of return (IRR): IRR represents the rate at which an historical series of cash flows are discounted so that the net present value of the cash flows equals zero. For pooled calculations, any remaining unrealized value in the fund is treated as a distribution in the most recent reporting period. This explains why some vintages show high IRRs but low DPI values.

Horizon IRR: Horizon IRR is a capital-weighted pooled calculation that shows the IRR for a certain range in time. For example, the one-year horizon IRR figures in the report may show the IRR performance for the one-year period beginning in Q1 2019 through the end of Q4 2019, while the three-year horizon IRR is for the period beginning in Q1 2017 through the end of Q4 2019.

Distributions to paid-in (DPI) multiple: A measurement of the capital that has been distributed back to LPs as a proportion of the total paid-in, or contributed, capital. DPI is also known as the cash-on-cash multiple or the realization multiple.

Remaining value to paid-in (RVPI) multiple: A measurement of the unrealized return of a fund as a proportion of the total paid-in, or contributed, capital.

Total value to paid-in (TVPI) multiple: A measurement of both the realized and unrealized value of a fund as a proportion of the total paid-in, or contributed, capital. Also known as the investment multiple, TVPI can be found by adding together the DPI and RVPI of a fund.

Pooled calculations: Pooled calculations combine cashflow data from a group of funds to create a capitalweighted IRR value. All cash flows and NAVs for each fund in the sample group (e.g. all private capital funds, 2004 vintage VC funds, etc.) are aggregated in the calculation. For vintage-specific calculations, we begin the calculation in Q1 of the vintage year, regardless of which quarter a fund first called capital. In cases where the sample has unrealized value, the ending NAV is treated as a cash outflow in the last reporting period.

Equal-weighted pooled calculations: Using the same methodology as the pooled calculations, the equalweighted version expresses each fund's cash flows and ending NAV as a ratio of fund size. The result is that each fund in these calculations has an equal impact on the output, regardless of the fund size.

Public market equivalent (PME) calculations: PME metrics benchmark the performance of a fund (or group of funds) against an index. A white paper detailing the calculations and methodology behind the PME benchmarks can be found at pitchbook.com. **PitchBook News & Analysis** also contains several articles with PME benchmarks and analysis. All PME figures are calculated using the Kaplan-Schoar PME method:

$$\mathsf{PME}_{\mathsf{KS}-\mathsf{TVPI},\mathsf{T}} = \frac{\frac{\mathsf{NAV}_{\mathsf{T}}}{\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{T}}} + \sum_{t=0}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{distribution}_{t}}{\mathsf{I}_{t}}\right)}{\sum_{t=0}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{contribution}_{t}}{\mathsf{I}_{t}}\right)}$$

When using a KS-PME, a value greater than 1.0 implies outperformance of the fund over the public index (net of all fees).

Basics of cash flow management: Commitment pacing and cash flow models

All the models introduced in this series are available for customization by PitchBook clients. We welcome any questions, comments, or inquiries at benchmarks@pitchbook.com.

Introduction

Figure 1. Target allocation for hypothetical LP

Throughout the Basics of Cash Flow Management series, we have leveraged historical data to develop several models to help limited partners (LPs) better navigate private markets. Building on prior installments, this final chapter will illustrate all the steps required in designing, planning, implementing, and maintaining a private market allocation. Because private market investing is a unique endeavor for each LP due to a range of factors, including variations in risk appetite, return requirements, liquidity needs, and investment horizon, we built these models to be dynamic and allow users to tailor the inputs to their specific portfolio and profile.

To illustrate how LPs can utilize our models throughout the private market investment process, we have developed a hypothetical LP profile, as detailed in the accompanying table. Please note that users can adjust all the assumptions seen throughout this analysis based on an LP's specific allocations and assumptions. To make this highly complex task more straightforward for illustrative purposes, the examples throughout this analysis will ignore any inflows or outflows to the corpus of the portfolio. We also assume a single growth rate for public equities, fixed income, and cash, while excluding certain asset classes and strategies that are peripheral to the topic of private market cash flow modeling.

Planning initial commitments

Building a private market portfolio from scratch is a challenging, complex, and iterative process. The drawdown nature of private market funds poses one of the biggest difficulties because once an initial commitment has been made, it takes years for general partners (GPs) to call capital and for LPs to effectively build an allocation. Commitment pacing requires LPs to balance the desire to quickly reach the target allocation with the risk of overshooting it, while also maintaining diversification across vintage years and GPs. Threading this needle is not impossible, however. In our prior research, we found that LPs can prudently incorporate an initial "ramp period" of slightly larger commitments than in the subsequent "maintenance period," which decreases the time to reach full allocation while minimizing the risk of overshooting target allocations.

The first step in determining the initial commitment pacing is to establish baseline estimates for how the broader portfolio will grow (or shrink) in the future. This step is necessary because private market allocations tend to be set as a proportion of the total portfolio, rather than a fixed dollar amount. In practice, this involves modeling out any expected inflows or outflows from the corpus of the portfolio, as well as anticipated performance impacts. For our hypothetical LP, we set a target timeframe of eight years to achieve the private market allocation and assumed that the LP's total portfolio would equal \$100.0 million at that time. We use this portfolio size to establish our target allocations to the respective private market strategies.

Figure 2. Estimated portfolio for hypothetical LP in 8 years

Private markets

\$60.0M

PE

\$20.0M

Total portfolio size

\$100.0M

Public equities	Fixed income	Cash	Private markets	PE	VC	Real assets	Private debt	FoF	Secondaries	Total
30%	7%	3%	60%	20%	12%	12%	8%		8%	100%

Source: PitchBook

VC	Real assets	Private debt	FoF	Secondaries
\$12.0M	\$12.0M	\$8.0M	\$0.0M	\$8.0M

Source: PitchBook

The guestion for LPs is how much to commit to a private market strategy each year to obtain the target allocation. To inform this commitment pacing process, we developed a model that utilizes historical data from thousands of private market funds to produce a commitment schedule based on an LP's target allocation size and timeframe. The model accounts for the disparate nature of cash flow profiles between private market strategies, as detailed in our prior research, and can be tailored to specific characteristics, such as fund size and location.¹ We also allow users to adjust the model based on their macroeconomic outlook, as our research shows that private market cash flows exhibit a high degree of cyclicality. For our hypothetical LP to reach their target allocation in eight years, the model suggests the commitment pacing schedule seen in the accompanying table.

As can be seen, we included an initial "ramp period" in this scenario for each strategy to curtail the period of underallocation early in the process. The length of this ramp period is about three years for most strategies, with a slightly longer ramp required for secondaries funds because they produce distributions earlier than other strategies, necessitating a quicker reinvestment plan. For the different strategies, the size of the commitments as a proportion of the target allocation also vary slightly due the different rates at which strategies draw down and return capital. Prior installments of this series provide additional analysis on how and why cash flow profiles differ between strategies.

Maintaining (ongoing commitment pacing)

The maintenance period from the initial commitment pacing schedule is designed to hit and maintain the allocation at a specific point in time—Year 8. For this analysis, we prescribed a guarterly commitment pacing schedule to be followed for several years. In practice, however, private market investing is never this clean and straightforward. LPs will not have the luxury to commit precise amounts on a set schedule; they are engaged in a Sisyphean exercise of continually committing to new funds each year to preserve vintage year diversification and maintain the target allocation, all while accounting for developments in other areas of their portfolio. This requires continual recalibration of the models.

Building off the example schedule, we now assume that our hypothetical LP perfectly achieved their modeled portfolio in Year 8. To estimate how this mature portfolio is likely to evolve over time, we employ our various PitchBook models for the private market portfolio and use Morningstar Market Assumptions as the basis for traditional asset class returns.² For example, the public equity allocation is \$30.0 million (or 30% of the portfolio) and is set to grow at 8.68% annually (i.e. the US Mid/Small Cap return from the Morningstar Market Assumptions). This exercise is repeated for the fixed income and cash allocations, while our previously discussed models maintain the original commitment pacing schedule and develop the return profile for the existing private market fund exposure.

Figure 3. Commitment pacing schedule for hypothetical LP

		Ra	amp period	Maintenance period	
	Target allocation at eight years	Duration	Quarterly commitment size (% of target allocation)	Quarterly commitment size (% of target allocation)	
PE	\$20 million	3.5 years	\$850,000 (4.25%)	\$500,000 (2.5%)	
VC	\$12 million	3 years	\$600,000 (5%)	\$240,000 (2%)	
Real assets	\$12 million	3.5 years	\$600,000 (5%)	\$300,000 (2.5%)	
Private debt	\$8 million	4 years	\$360,000 (4.5%)	\$200,000 (2.5%)	
Secondaries	\$8 million	4 years	\$360,000 (4.5%)	\$140,000 (1.75%)	





Source: PitchBook

Source: PitchBook

All charts are for illustrative purposes.

^{1:} For more information about the model, please contact benchmarks@pitchbook.com. 2: Morningstar Market Assumptions



Figure 5. Modeled portfolio for hypothetical LP under original commitment pacing schedule

Figure 7. Commitment pacing schedule comparison

	Original	Updated
	Quarterly commitment size as % of target allocation	Quarterly commitment as % of next year's target allocation
PE	2.50%	3.25%
VC	2.00%	2.75%
Real assets	2.50%	3.25%
Private debt	2.50%	3.00%
Secondaries	1.75%	2.75%

Figure 5.1 shows that committing capital under the original "maintenance period" pace results in the dollar value of the private market portfolio being maintained, as expected; however, as shown in Figure 5.2, the private market allocation will shrink relative to the rest of the portfolio, particularly the public equity portion, as the public equity and fixed income holdings continue to grow into the future. These developments complicate the analysis, but we can use these modeled returns to solve for the anticipated gap in the private market portfolio going forward.

Utilizing our model, we can then generate a new commitment pacing schedule beginning in Year 9 to close the gap and maintain the target allocation percentage into the future. The new commitment schedule prescribes a slightly higher pace of commitment based on the growth assumptions in the other parts of the portfolio. In practice, this means that the dollar value being committed will rise slightly even though the allocation percentage will remain constant. In Year 9, for example, the 3.25% quarterly commitment to PE represents \$697,000, whereas that dollar value swells to \$1,074,000 in Year 15 based on the same 3.25% due to portfolio growth. While this revised commitment pacing schedule incorporates additional portfolio assumptions, it will also have a limited shelf life and will need to be revisited periodically to account for developments throughout the portfolio.

Implementing (cash flow/contribution planning)

As soon as the initial commitments are made, cash flow management begins to come into play. Cash flow management is relatively straightforward in the first years of a private market program because there are few fund relationships and most vehicles are simply drawing down capital, with little coming back via distributions. Cash management grows exponentially more difficult as a program develops, however, and poses an ongoing challenge for LPs. A mature, diversified private market portfolio often has dozens of funds at disparate points in their lifecycles. As such, to ascertain the liquidity profile of the entire portfolio, an investor must first evaluate each underlying fund position to establish estimated capital calls and distributions over the coming guarters.







Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019.



As we have shown throughout this series, fund cash flows are correlated to certain fund characteristics (such as dry powder, performance marks, fund age, etc.) and broader economic factors. Leveraging this research and the PitchBook database, we have constructed a model that both generates theoretical cash flows based purely on hypothetical inputs and can be used to model future cash flows for existing funds from any stage of their lifecycle. Additionally, since GPs provide LPs with regular updates about expectations for specific funds, users can feed these predictions from active funds into the model to generate a customized cash flow projection, with Figure 11 showing the inputs available for customization. To illustrate the impact of these variables on the modeled cash flows, we have modeled a buyout fund from different points of its lifecycle to depict how users can set the model to any point throughout the fund life. Our model produces a baseline scenario for future cash flows, as well as upper and lower bounds based on a 90% confidence threshold.

Figure 11. Qualitative inputs for customization in cash flow model

		Variable changed		
		Contribution pace	Distribution timing	
	Anticipated reserve capital	Х		
Inputs	Near-term exits		X	
	Expected fund life		Х	



Figure 10. Buyout fund at six years





Cash flow management is important because LPs do not want to hold all future commitments in cash or other highly liquid, low-returning assets. While letting uncalled capital sit idly in a cash account is suboptimal, LPs can also encounter issues when they do not have enough capital available to meet capital calls. These situations force the LP to sell off a position in another portion of the portfolio or, in the worst-case scenario, fail to meet a capital call, which has serious repercussions.

Our model helps LPs to plan for these tail-end scenarios via a value-at-risk (VaR) model called PB Capital Call at Risk (PB CCaR). The calculations behind PB CCaR are the same as traditional VaR, but PB CCaR measures the risk associated with large capital calls rather than the portfolio value at risk in a drawdown. Like traditional VaR, our metric allows an LP to set a certain threshold (typically 90%, 95%, or 99%) to answer the question, "What is the most capital the fund/portfolio will call down 90%/95%/99% of the time?" For example, a PB CCaR of \$10.0 million at 90% implies that there is a 10% probability that the fund will call \$10.0 million or more in a given period. We produce a version of PB CCaR based on observed data (i.e. Historical), as well as a version calculated using the statistical mean and standard deviation (i.e. Parametric). PB CCaR will naturally decrease during the investment period as capital is called, as illustrated using the outputs from the previous buyout fund example.

This cash flow model and the accompanying metrics can be calculated for any number of funds in a portfolio. Using our hypothetical LP as an example, we begin with portfolio from Year 8 in the prior example to establish underlying fund positions for each strategy. We then incorporate additional fund positions based on the commitment pacing schedule detailed in the "Maintaining" section. By aggregating the model outputs for each fund, we can produce estimates of both the size and timing of contributions, distributions, and subsequently net cash flows across the entire portfolio, as shown on the following page.

Figure 12. Buyout fund at 2.5 years



Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019. Note: Capital Call at Risk in line with VaR methodologies. Confidence threshold set at 90%.



Figure 14. Buyout fund at six years

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019. Note: Capital Call at Risk in line with VaR methodologies. Confidence threshold set at 90%.



Figure 13. Buyout fund at 4.5 years

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019. Note: Capital Call at Risk in line with VaR methodologies. Confidence threshold set at 90%.

All charts are for illustrative purposes.







Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019.

Figure 15.3

The period between Years 8 and 9 represents the switch from the initial commitment pacing model to the updated version, which incorporated new growth estimates for the rest of the portfolio. The initial ramp period helps to reach the target allocation (i.e. NAV) quickly and begins to produce relatively strong distributions beginning around Year 8, which leads to an expectation of strongly positive net cash flows. However, the impacts of the ramp period begin to wane as the updated commitment pacing schedule is incorporated in Year 9. As we detailed in the "Maintaining" section, the dollar value of commitments will necessarily increase over time as the portfolio grows. Accordingly, capital calls steadily increase over time as the portfolio matures and larger commitments are needed to maintain the allocation, as noted earlier.

Distributions, however, take longer to rise after the commitment pace is increased. So, while the portfolio goes through an extended period in which it is expectedly self-funded, we find periods in which additional cash will likely be required to meet capital calls, as shown in Figure 15.2. The increasing capital requirements can be seen clearly in the PB CCaR metric; note that this metric is particularly conservative, as it does not account for expected distributions back to the portfolio. This example highlights the difficulty in modeling private markets over long periods and underscores the importance of revisiting and recalibrating these models on a regular basis.

Like a rolling stone

As we have emphasized throughout this series, private market investing is a continuous, iterative process. While a long-term mindset is needed to build a well-diversified portfolio that will withstand market cycles, LPs must also be cognizant of what impact the various moving parts of their portfolio-from sell-offs in public markets to unanticipated inflows or outflows-will have on the course of the private market allocation. Much like steering an



aircraft carrier, it is easier to make slight strategic moves early in the process as potential issues are visible on the horizon because the need to adjust on short timeframes can be costly—or impossible.

We believe that our models are valuable tools for LPs and consultants considering strategies for developing a private market allocation from scratch, as well as those seeking tactics to address the ongoing challenge of balancing the allocation with the liquid portions of the portfolio. The models can also help LPs design a plan to incorporate a new private market strategy into an existing portfolio.



Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019.

All charts are for illustrative purposes.

PitchBook

+ 3Q16

+ 2Q16

+ 1Q16

+ 2015

2.647.36

2.628,21

2.623.57

2.453.71

165.26

243,02

115,54

294.63

4	Pi	t	cl	٦I	B	0	0	k
		-			_	-	-	

Contents

General Info

	Contact Informa
0	Recent Notes
	Fund Service Pro
B	Fund Team (5)
	Limited Partners
≣	Fund Investmen
	Investment Pref

	Investment Pr
ආ	Returns Data
	Fund Cash Flor
(DE)	Dry Powder

Fund Return

Benchmark

	Fund Returns Hi	story												
	Period	Contribution ^E (by all LPs)	Dry Powder ^E	Distribution ^E (to all LPs)		NAV E		Total Fund ^E Distr+NAV	IR	R	DPI	RVPI	τνρι	Dry Powder %
	- 2017	2.669,17	57,29	2.418,55	2	2.671,53		5.092,68	20,049	6 0,	90x	1,00x	1,91x	2,10%
rs	+ 3Q17	2.572,54	458,21	3.089,00	2	2.262,00		5.350,99		1,	07x	0,79x	1,86x	16,81%
	+ 2Q17	2.669,14	57,66	2.421,07	2	2.671,15		5.092,97	19,849	6 0,	91x	1,00x	1,91x	2,12%
	+ 1Q17	2.669,17	57,26	1.486,32	3	3.492,48		4.981,92	20,139	6 0,	56x	1,31x	1,88x	2,10%
4)	- 2016	2.647,36	166,31	1.482,77	3	3.299,39		4.782,16	20,199	6 0,	55x	1,25x	1,81x	6,10%
es	- 4Q16	2.647,36	136,64	1.485,90	3	3.298,98		4.784,88	19,949	6 0,	56x	1,25x	1,81x	5,01%
	Date	Reported	,	IRR	DPI	RVPI	TVPI	Individual LP Committed	Individual LP Contributed	Dry Powder	Individual LP Distributed	Individual LP NAV	Individual LP Distr+NAV	Individu Gain Since In
	31-Dec-2016	Name Track City No.		20,60%	0,58x	1,21x	1,79x	28,46	28,44	0,02	16,60	34,36	50,96	
	31-Dec-2016	Aug. 1010 (1), 100		20,60%	0,58x	1,21x	1,79x	66,40	66,07	0,33	38,39	80,18	118,56	
	31-Dec-2016			20,57%	0,57x	1,26x	1,82x	94,86	91,14	3,72	51,66	114,54	166,20	:
	31-Dec-2016	Name Tank City Con	rigeer become be	20,40%	0,59x	1,19x	1,78x	94,86	96,28	0,00	57,10	114,54	171,64	
	31-Dec-2016	Name and Address	Coppagese Nervalan 1	20,19%	0,56x	1,24x	1,80x	18,97	18,47	0,50	10,32	22,96	33,28	
	31-Dec-2016	Report from the	Corners and Persons	20,00%	0,51x	1,40x	1,91x	47,43	40,96	6,47	20,73	57,40	78,13	
	31-Dec-2016			19,95%	0,52x	1,25x	1,89x	47,43	46,07	6,47	30,07	57,40	87,47	
	31-Dec-2016	tas Nigeria Court	Contractor Management	19,92%	0,55x	1,29x	1,83x	94,86	89,07	5,79	48,66	114,78	163,44	
	31-Dec-2016	Teachers, Second	er herer of the lines	19,92%	0,51x	1,40x	1,91x	94,86	81,91	12,94	41,51	114,79	156,30	
	31-Dec-2016	Manager Country, Top		19,92%	0,56x	1,25x	1,81x	142,29	138,19	4,10	77,57	172,19	249,77	1
	31-Dec-2016		States and the second states of the second states o	19,92%	0,51x	1,40x	1,91x	33,20	28,62	4,58	14,48	40,18	54,66	:
	31-Dec-2016	Bright Fully Dr.	strates because by	19,90%	0,56x	1,25x	1,81x	94,86	92,11	2,75	51,70	114,78	166,48	
	31-Dec-2016			19,90%	0,56x	1,25x	1,81x	94,86	92,11	2,75	51,70	114,78	166,48	
	31-Dec-2016	And in case of the local diversion of the loc		19,72%	0,51x	1,39x	1,89x	9,49	8,18	1,29	4,13	11,34	15,47	
	31-Dec-2016			-	0.64.	1.12.	4.74.	100 70	107.62	25.00	120.46	1,05	242.00	
	31-Dec-2016				0,61X	1,13X	1,74X	189,72	197,63	25,89	120,46	223,52	343,98	1.
	31-Dec-2016	the second second						23,71				28,01		
	21-Dec-2016	here have been been	and the second se					71 14	61 //	0.71		2,74		
	31-Dec-2016	-						71,14	61,44	9,71				

3.465,75

3.459,80

3.431.05

3,294,89

4,703.50

4.692,73

4.621.61

4.269.12

20.70%

21,92%

22.91%

23.02%

0.47x

0.44x

0.45x

0.39x

1.31x

1.32x

1.31x

1.34x

1.78x

1.80x

1.76x

1.75x

6.06%

8,91%

4.24%

10,81%

1.239.21

1.232,89

1.192.13

1.004.40

PitchBook clients get greater insight into underlying fund data

Get an even more transparent and comprehensive look into benchmarks with the PitchBook Platform.

Get aggregate fund-level performance at a glance.

Get access to individual LP reports and the underlying fund performance data that is used to construct our benchmarks.

Request a free trial

Private capital



Private capital

Horizon IRRs

Strategy	1-year	3-year	5-year	10-year	15-year	18-year
Private capital	11.60%	12.58%	11.51%	12.33%	10.71%	10.70%
Private equity	15.83%	15.19%	13.94%	14.07%	12.60%	12.81%
Venture capital	15.93%	15.07%	11.33%	12.60%	9.69%	7.43%
Real assets	3.83%	8.20%	8.07%	9.41%	6.82%	7.01%
Debt	6.95%	7.52%	6.74%	8.85%	8.34%	8.92%
Fund-of-funds	10.11%	12.50%	11.38%	10.34%	9.57%	9.04%
Secondaries	11.75%	14.00%	12.01%	13.11%	11.30%	11.34%
S&P 500	31.49%	15.27%	11.70%	13.56%	9.00%	8.08%
Russell 3000	31.02%	14.57%	11.24%	13.42%	9.03%	8.31%
Russell 2000 Growth	28.48%	12.49%	9.34%	13.01%	8.81%	8.30%
Morningstar US Real Assets	9.87%	3.27%	2.22%	3.77%	5.01%	6.61%
Bloomberg Barclays US Corporate High Yield	14.32%	6.37%	6.13%	7.57%	7.20%	8.02%

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019 Note: All public index values are CAGRs.

Private capital

Equal-weighted horizon IRRs

Strategy	1-year	3-year	5-year	10-year	15-year	18-year
Private capital	9.91%	11.80%	10.75%	11.56%	10.14%	9.38%
Private equity	11.86%	13.77%	12.78%	12.68%	12.48%	12.02%
Venture capital	14.00%	13.92%	10.47%	12.04%	8.96%	6.47%
Real assets	7.80%	8.90%	8.95%	9.64%	7.51%	7.77%
Debt	3.97%	6.76%	6.76%	9.08%	8.23%	9.37%
Fund-of-funds	7.84%	12.55%	11.55%	11.73%	10.38%	9.99%
Secondaries	9.56%	11.26%	10.40%	12.49%	10.96%	10.52%
S&P 500	31.49%	15.27%	11.70%	13.56%	9.00%	8.08%
Russell 3000	31.02%	14.57%	11.24%	13.42%	9.03%	8.31%
Russell 2000 Growth	28.48%	12.49%	9.34%	13.01%	8.81%	8.30%
Morningstar US Real Assets	9.87%	3.27%	2.22%	3.77%	5.01%	6.61%
Bloomberg Barclays US Corporate High Yield	14.32%	6.37%	6.13%	7.57%	7.20%	8.02%

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019 Note: All public index values are CAGRs.



IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs								
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-1996	19.98%	10.46%	6	37.46%	28.78%	16.75%	9.01%	-4.39%	19.11%	82
1996	11.94%	8.66%	24	24.25%	16.78%	7.05%	0.88%	-6.47%	17.51%	38
1997	9.52%	7.07%	26	23.20%	13.17%	7.35%	-0.73%	-8.04%	20.01%	36
1998	6.51%	5.27%	42	19.99%	12.07%	7.40%	-0.56%	-9.79%	17.67%	62
1999	9.75%	10.85%	41	22.49%	17.29%	11.16%	4.83%	-1.76%	10.99%	60
2000	15.97%	12.47%	53	28.97%	22.85%	12.30%	5.11%	-2.54%	12.47%	75
2001	24.15%	19.71%	36	36.67%	28.92%	16.20%	9.42%	1.92%	17.66%	49
2002	18.16%	15.80%	37	35.57%	27.49%	17.54%	6.84%	2.52%	19.90%	48
2003	22.21%	15.60%	24	36.76%	23.90%	13.98%	7.12%	-1.71%	22.41%	40
2004	12.09%	11.45%	50	31.36%	16.90%	9.67%	3.79%	-1.66%	19.81%	60
2005	9.53%	9.27%	78	20.44%	13.46%	8.35%	3.14%	-1.23%	13.51%	106
2006	7.41%	6.96%	115	16.75%	11.57%	7.80%	3.61%	-1.97%	9.49%	149
2007	8.95%	9.40%	113	21.41%	15.90%	9.00%	4.30%	-0.25%	10.18%	150
2008	12.74%	10.04%	115	21.80%	16.49%	10.34%	4.77%	-4.66%	14.29%	136
2009	13.46%	14.07%	47	26.98%	22.07%	11.85%	8.75%	4.89%	46.10%	60
2010	12.66%	11.28%	64	27.06%	19.71%	12.65%	7.86%	-2.13%	13.37%	70

IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs		IRR hurdle rates						
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
2011	14.88%	14.74%	81	32.59%	21.00%	14.00%	9.04%	2.58%	17.88%	87
2012	15.70%	13.31%	114	29.44%	19.62%	14.18%	8.19%	1.39%	12.74%	108
2013	14.48%	13.61%	95	25.78%	19.64%	13.10%	8.43%	5.03%	10.26%	87
2014	18.46%	17.97%	98	31.38%	22.43%	16.76%	9.77%	6.90%	13.47%	91
2015	17.89%	15.52%	126	29.66%	20.38%	13.74%	8.13%	2.28%	11.85%	114
2016	18.39%	17.82%	120	36.23%	23.69%	15.60%	7.90%	0.02%	15.60%	115
2017	13.94%	12.19%	119	27.09%	19.15%	12.53%	6.04%	-7.86%	26.92%	98
2018	13.47%	7.10%	131	29.90%	14.76%	2.66%	-9.60%	-28.90%	28.38%	81

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	ТVРІ	DPI	RVPI	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-1996	1.69x	1.67x	0.02x	1.47x	1.40x	0.07x	6
1996	1.56x	1.56x	0.00x	1.39x	1.39x	0.00x	24
1997	1.58x	1.58x	0.00x	1.39x	1.38x	0.00x	26
1998	1.39x	1.38x	0.00x	1.28x	1.28x	0.00x	42
1999	1.52x	1.52x	0.00x	1.62x	1.62x	0.01x	41
2000	1.83x	1.82x	0.02x	1.71x	1.69x	0.02x	53
2001	2.16x	2.15x	0.01x	1.97x	1.95x	0.02x	36
2002	1.90x	1.88x	0.01x	1.75x	1.73x	0.02x	37
2003	1.98x	1.93x	0.05x	1.78x	1.73x	0.05x	24
2004	1.73x	1.68x	0.05x	1.68x	1.63x	0.06x	50
2005	1.59x	1.52x	0.07x	1.56x	1.48x	0.08x	78
2006	1.48x	1.41x	0.08x	1.43x	1.32x	0.11x	115
2007	1.50x	1.34x	0.15x	1.54x	1.39x	0.15x	113
2008	1.65x	1.49x	0.17x	1.53x	1.32x	0.21x	115
2009	1.63x	1.47x	0.16x	1.67x	1.45x	0.22x	47
2010	1.59x	1.19x	0.39x	1.56x	1.11x	0.46x	64

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
2011	1.73x	1.11x	0.62x	1.73x	1.10x	0.63x	81
2012	1.65x	0.91x	0.73x	1.54x	0.85x	0.70x	114
2013	1.49x	0.67x	0.82x	1.49x	0.68x	0.80x	95
2014	1.59x	0.60x	0.99x	1.57x	0.54x	1.03x	98
2015	1.42x	0.39x	1.03x	1.38x	0.37x	1.01x	126
2016	1.31x	0.20x	1.11x	1.33x	0.24x	1.09x	120
2017	1.19x	0.12x	1.07x	1.16x	0.17x	0.99x	119
2018	1.09x	0.05x	1.04x	1.06x	0.07x	0.99x	131

Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I			DPI				
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-1996		1.82x	1.39x	0.96x			1.82x	1.20x	0.79x		6
1996	2.27x	1.83x	1.28x	0.95x	0.55x	2.27x	1.83x	1.28x	0.95x	0.55x	24
1997	2.01x	1.73x	1.44x	1.02x	0.77x	2.01x	1.73x	1.44x	1.02x	0.77x	26
1998	1.88x	1.57x	1.32x	0.93x	0.58x	1.88x	1.57x	1.30x	0.93x	0.58x	42
1999	2.36x	2.02x	1.59x	1.23x	0.95x	2.36x	1.94x	1.59x	1.17x	0.95x	41
2000	2.41x	2.11x	1.67x	1.33x	0.95x	2.41x	2.08x	1.66x	1.33x	0.86x	53
2001	2.93x	2.51x	1.97x	1.51x	1.01x	2.93x	2.51x	1.91x	1.48x	1.01x	36
2002	2.59x	2.15x	1.62×	1.33x	1.21x	2.59x	2.14x	1.62x	1.33x	1.17x	37
2003	3.07x	1.90x	1.67x	1.44x	0.80x	2.95x	1.90x	1.67x	1.38x	0.76x	24
2004	2.54x	2.02x	1.61x	1.31x	0.99x	2.54x	2.02x	1.58x	1.17x	0.80x	50
2005	2.34x	1.82x	1.46x	1.24x	0.88x	2.28x	1.74x	1.40x	1.12x	0.83x	78
2006	2.08x	1.65x	1.39x	1.17x	0.83x	1.93x	1.59x	1.35x	1.04x	0.53x	115
2007	2.28x	1.93x	1.48x	1.17x	0.91x	2.17x	1.77x	1.33x	0.99x	0.74x	113
2008	2.12x	1.85x	1.50x	1.19×	0.88x	2.00x	1.64x	1.32x	0.93x	0.60x	115
2009	2.38x	2.05x	1.64x	1.29x	0.96x	2.30x	1.83x	1.33x	1.14x	0.80x	47
2010	2.29x	1.86x	1.54x	1.18x	0.83x	1.78x	1.46x	1.06x	0.78x	0.43x	64

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I		DPI					
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
2011	2.57x	1.99x	1.57x	1.30x	1.01x	1.87x	1.40x	1.04x	0.62x	0.27x	81
2012	2.08x	1.83x	1.52x	1.19x	1.03x	1.44x	1.11x	0.79x	0.53x	0.25x	114
2013	1.90x	1.68x	1.48x	1.28x	1.07x	1.22x	0.95x	0.59x	0.32x	0.13x	95
2014	2.20x	1.73x	1.44x	1.24x	1.13x	1.09x	0.75x	0.44x	0.12x	0.03x	98
2015	1.84x	1.49x	1.31x	1.16x	0.97x	0.72x	0.49x	0.31x	0.12x	0.03x	126
2016	1.69x	1.47x	1.27x	1.11x	0.98x	0.55x	0.33x	0.12x	0.00x	0.00x	120
2017	1.38x	1.23x	1.11x	1.02x	0.85x	0.38x	0.19x	0.06x	0.00x	0.00x	119
2018	1.24x	1.15x	1.01x	0.90x	0.73x	0.17x	0.05x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	131

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

PMEs by vintage

	S	&P 500 Index		Russell 3000 Index						
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds			
1996	11.94%	8.91%	1.39	11.94%	8.95%	1.36	24			
1997	9.52%	8.22%	1.37	9.52%	8.34%	1.33	26			
1998	6.51%	7.21%	1.30	6.51%	7.37%	1.25	42			
1999	9.75%	6.42%	1.36	9.75%	6.79%	1.32	41			
2000	15.97%	6.05%	1.49	15.97%	6.27%	1.45	53			
2001	24.15%	6.93%	1.69	24.15%	7.20%	1.65	36			
2002	18.16%	7.97%	1.41	18.16%	8.20%	1.38	37			
2003	22.21%	10.14%	1.53	22.21%	10.36%	1.51	24			
2004	12.09%	8.78%	1.34	12.09%	8.86%	1.32	50			
2005	9.53%	8.90%	1.18	9.53%	8.95%	1.17	78			
2006	7.41%	8.84%	1.00	7.41%	8.75%	0.99	115			
2007	8.95%	8.52%	0.94	8.95%	8.43%	0.94	113			
2008	12.74%	9.59%	0.99	12.74%	9.58%	0.99	115			
2009	13.46%	15.67%	0.97	13.46%	15.67%	0.97	47			
2010	12.66%	13.24%	0.96	12.66%	13.06%	0.97	64			

SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

all ZOOO Inda

PMEs by vintage

	S	&P 500 Index			Russell 3000 Inde	x	
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2011	14.88%	12.68%	1.05	14.88%	12.31%	1.07	81
2012	15.70%	13.60%	1.09	15.70%	13.28%	1.10	114
2013	14.48%	13.43%	1.06	14.48%	13.02%	1.08	95
2014	18.46%	11.72%	1.16	18.46%	11.08%	1.18	98
2015	17.89%	11.08%	1.10	17.89%	10.54%	1.11	126
2016	18.39%	15.30%	1.08	18.39%	15.15%	1.09	120
2017	13.94%	13.03%	1.02	13.94%	12.36%	1.03	119
2018	13.47%	9.31%	0.99	13.47%	8.65%	1.00	131

SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

Quarterly return

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q1 2001	-5.98%
Q2 2001	-0.27%
Q3 2001	-4.12%
Q4 2001	-3.46%
Q1 2002	-0.70%
Q2 2002	-2.64%
Q3 2002	-2.46%
Q4 2002	-0.36%
Q1 2003	0.50%
Q2 2003	5.63%
Q3 2003	3.96%
Q4 2003	9.10%
Q1 2004	8.82%
Q2 2004	0.57%
Q3 2004	2.97%
Q4 2004	13.27%
Q1 2005	2.19%
Q2 2005	8.69%
Q3 2005	7.73%

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q4 2005	10.08%	Q3 2010	4.49%	Q2 2015	4.82%
Q1 2006	4.32%	Q4 2010	7.47%	Q3 2015	0.66%
Q2 2006	5.58%	Q1 2011	5.24%	Q4 2015	2.43%
Q3 2006	4.18%	Q2 2011	4.44%	Q1 2016	1.91%
Q4 2006	12.44%	Q3 2011	-2.80%	Q2 2016	4.46%
Q1 2007	5.64%	Q4 2011	1.09%	Q3 2016	4.45%
Q2 2007	8.20%	Q1 2012	5.82%	Q4 2016	1.50%
Q3 2007	4.72%	Q2 2012	0.70%	Q1 2017	4.54%
Q4 2007	3.73%	Q3 2012	3.83%	Q2 2017	5.01%
Q1 2008	-0.36%	Q4 2012	3.26%	Q3 2017	4.36%
Q2 2008	-1.52%	Q1 2013	3.22%	Q4 2017	4.44%
Q3 2008	-7.88%	Q2 2013	2.99%	Q1 2018	4.10%
Q4 2008	-11.22%	Q3 2013	4.81%	Q2 2018	3.28%
Q1 2009	-7.25%	Q4 2013	5.70%	Q3 2018	3.06%
Q2 2009	3.20%	Q1 2014	4.47%	Q4 2018	-0.72%
Q3 2009	3.92%	Q2 2014	6.11%	Q1 2019	4.62%
Q4 2009	7.09%	Q3 2014	-0.20%	Q2 2019	2.97%
Q1 2010	2.87%	Q4 2014	2.97%	Q3 2019	3.12%
Q2 2010	1.45%	Q1 2015	3.41%	Q4 2019	4.28%

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019



IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs			IRR hurdle rates					
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-1996	61.44%	74.32%	3	69.41%	37.40%	16.14%	7.25%	0.02%	29.66%	65
1996	91.71%	143.96%	8	116.37%	74.78%	37.56%	9.93%	1.27%	55.15%	16
1997	5.93%	13.06%	15	126.88%	59.13%	18.75%	0.42%	-5.15%	121.47%	22
1998	6.60%	5.21%	21	44.32%	13.96%	9.22%	-7.44%	-10.57%	35.12%	25
1999	-2.25%	-3.29%	38	10.67%	6.00%	-3.18%	-10.26%	-17.37%	21.33%	49
2000	-0.04%	-1.30%	58	7.59%	3.19%	-1.08%	-5.93%	-14.97%	10.78%	88
2001	4.86%	2.66%	36	14.77%	6.60%	2.46%	-2.57%	-14.46%	14.54%	54
2002	3.14%	3.02%	17	9.79%	6.78%	3.15%	-4.27%	-11.72%	10.46%	30
2003	5.21%	1.57%	18	13.82%	7.94%	1.31%	-6.46%	-20.22%	36.83%	30
2004	4.02%	6.45%	21	10.30%	6.19%	0.30%	-12.26%	-19.69%	17.16%	33
2005	7.73%	6.73%	32	14.36%	9.80%	4.20%	0.37%	-7.99%	17.54%	47
2006	5.46%	3.53%	42	21.03%	11.00%	4.80%	-4.81%	-10.15%	13.91%	57
2007	11.35%	11.19%	47	24.39%	15.30%	8.35%	0.13%	-9.40%	15.30%	66
2008	13.62%	9.91%	55	27.03%	20.06%	8.11%	-1.82%	-17.66%	21.35%	64
2009	9.79%	8.60%	20	30.03%	22.49%	12.93%	6.76%	-0.21%	14.96%	30
2010	16.65%	17.76%	25	33.58%	25.46%	11.32%	2.60%	-4.46%	17.20%	33

IRRs by vintage

	Pooled IRRs					IRR hurdle rates					
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds	
2011	17.36%	15.42%	21	24.27%	21.20%	14.18%	4.14%	-4.82%	12.54%	38	
2012	17.09%	16.57%	20	29.28%	22.00%	16.75%	11.24%	0.38%	21.26%	24	
2013	19.83%	14.49%	23	34.29%	30.19%	15.50%	9.02%	-10.11%	27.16%	30	
2014	20.57%	21.82%	38	44.50%	24.10%	17.70%	12.40%	3.90%	62.81%	41	
2015	19.25%	17.62%	46	33.68%	21.16%	15.00%	9.48%	5.95%	11.62%	43	
2016	24.14%	28.22%	53	49.25%	30.78%	20.77%	12.55%	6.72%	16.33%	55	
2017	21.28%	23.12%	37	49.82%	34.40%	20.10%	11.42%	1.65%	22.40%	33	
2018	10.67%	9.80%	49	35.15%	19.89%	0.50%	-11.04%	-13.94%	21.36%	35	

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-1996	2.68x	2.68x	0.00x	2.98x	2.98x	0.00x	3
1996	3.44x	3.41x	0.02x	4.47x	4.44x	0.03x	8
1997	1.23x	1.23x	0.00x	1.41x	1.41x	0.00x	15
1998	1.31x	1.30x	0.01x	1.22x	1.20x	0.02x	21
1999	0.85x	0.77x	0.07x	0.79x	0.74x	0.04x	38
2000	1.00x	0.94x	0.05x	0.91x	0.88x	0.04x	58
2001	1.38x	1.33x	0.06x	1.20x	1.12x	0.08x	36
2002	1.19x	1.17x	0.02x	1.20x	1.10x	0.10x	17
2003	1.38x	1.30x	0.07x	1.10x	1.05x	0.05x	18
2004	1.31x	1.16x	0.15x	1.53x	1.34x	0.19x	21
2005	1.65x	1.36x	0.29x	1.57x	1.23x	0.33x	32
2006	1.39x	1.09x	0.30x	1.26x	0.93x	0.33x	42
2007	1.88x	1.42x	0.46x	1.89x	1.41x	0.48x	47
2008	1.91x	1.52x	0.39x	1.65x	1.24x	0.41x	55
2009	1.74x	1.02x	0.71x	1.63x	0.90x	0.73x	20
2010	2.10x	1.20x	0.89x	2.26x	1.33x	0.93x	25

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
2011	2.11x	0.98x	1.13x	2.01x	0.79x	1.23x	21
2012	2.12x	0.75x	1.37x	2.08x	0.52x	1.56x	20
2013	1.89x	0.51x	1.38x	1.63x	0.38x	1.25x	23
2014	1.88x	0.26x	1.62x	1.88x	0.24x	1.64x	38
2015	1.57x	0.23x	1.34x	1.51x	0.21x	1.30x	46
2016	1.47x	0.09x	1.38x	1.61x	0.13x	1.48x	53
2017	1.30x	0.05x	1.25x	1.40x	0.06x	1.34x	37
2018	1.08x	0.02x	1.06x	1.08x	0.04x	1.04x	49



Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I					DPI		
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-1996			2.13x					2.13x			3
1996		4.15x	1.90x	1.41x			4.15x	1.82x	1.40x		8
1997	2.43x	1.71x	1.14x	0.87x	0.64x	2.43x	1.71x	1.14x	0.87x	0.64x	15
1998	1.80x	1.68x	1.23x	0.69x	0.47x	1.80x	1.68x	1.23x	0.69x	0.47x	21
1999	1.68x	1.10x	0.69x	0.39x	0.20x	1.39x	0.99x	0.69x	0.36x	0.20x	38
2000	1.43x	1.18x	0.93x	0.63x	0.37x	1.43x	1.13x	0.89x	0.55x	0.31x	58
2001	1.81x	1.49x	1.20x	0.77x	0.29x	1.81x	1.40x	1.08x	0.69x	0.26x	36
2002	1.78x	1.74x	1.18x	0.68x	0.50x	1.78x	1.61x	1.18x	0.57x	0.34x	17
2003	1.56x	1.45x	1.12x	0.58x	0.42x	1.50x	1.34x	1.08x	0.58x	0.42x	18
2004	1.89x	1.48x	1.02x	0.54x	0.33x	1.54x	1.19x	0.79x	0.42x	0.09x	21
2005	2.37x	1.68x	1.30x	1.12x	0.67x	2.03x	1.50x	1.09x	0.82x	0.50x	32
2006	2.18x	1.60x	1.15x	0.61x	0.41x	1.70x	1.38x	0.91x	0.49x	0.27x	42
2007	2.91x	2.24x	1.75x	0.97x	0.47x	2.50x	1.78x	1.26x	0.48x	0.12x	47
2008	2.85x	2.02x	1.45x	0.87x	0.27x	2.48x	1.71x	0.78x	0.41x	0.20x	55
2009	2.44x	1.94x	1.63x	1.23x	0.85x	1.58x	1.16x	0.89x	0.46x	0.23x	20
2010	3.46x	2.89x	1.83x	1.26x	0.79x	2.36x	1.50x	1.16x	0.56x	0.41x	25

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I					DPI		
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
2011	3.41x	2.36x	1.95x	1.38x	0.99x	1.36x	1.23x	0.67x	0.41x	0.15x	21
2012	2.63x	2.36x	1.82x	1.29x	0.98x	0.99x	0.73x	0.40x	0.12x	0.00x	20
2013	2.33x	1.83x	1.54x	1.40x	0.74x	1.16x	0.46x	0.24x	0.07x	0.00x	23
2014	2.71x	2.00x	1.73x	1.41x	1.05x	0.47x	0.37x	0.11x	0.03x	0.00x	38
2015	1.93x	1.74x	1.41x	1.20x	1.08x	0.68x	0.24x	0.04x	0.00x	0.00x	46
2016	2.07x	1.63x	1.43x	1.18x	1.06x	0.40x	0.16x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	53
2017	1.75x	1.46x	1.22x	1.11x	0.97x	0.19x	0.05x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	37
2018	1.23x	1.13x	0.99x	0.90x	0.81x	0.01x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	49

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

PMEs by vintage

	S	&P 500 Index			Russell 2000 Growt	:h	
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
1996	91.71%	8.91%	2.65	91.71%	8.02%	2.93	8
1997	5.93%	8.22%	1.08	5.93%	7.69%	0.96	15
1998	6.60%	7.21%	1.18	6.60%	6.94%	0.95	21
1999	-2.25%	6.42%	0.73	-2.25%	7.74%	0.58	38
2000	-0.04%	6.05%	0.72	-0.04%	6.53%	0.61	58
2001	4.86%	6.93%	0.91	4.86%	7.52%	0.83	36
2002	3.14%	7.97%	0.87	3.14%	7.81%	0.81	17
2003	5.21%	10.14%	0.89	5.21%	9.96%	0.88	18
2004	4.02%	8.78%	0.81	4.02%	7.40%	0.82	21
2005	7.73%	8.90%	0.95	7.73%	7.32%	1.01	32
2006	5.46%	8.84%	0.79	5.46%	6.60%	0.84	42
2007	11.35%	8.52%	1.01	11.35%	6.28%	1.08	47
2008	13.62%	9.59%	1.02	13.62%	7.94%	1.12	55
2009	9.79%	15.67%	0.80	9.79%	13.50%	0.94	20
2010	16.65%	13.24%	1.12	16.65%	10.22%	1.33	25

SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

Duesell 2000 Crewth

PMEs by vintage

	S	&P 500 Index			Russell 2000 Grow	th	
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2011	17.36%	12.68%	1.19	17.36%	8.44%	1.45	21
2012	17.09%	13.60%	1.21	17.09%	9.38%	1.50	20
2013	19.83%	13.43%	1.27	19.83%	8.58%	1.51	23
2014	20.57%	11.72%	1.28	20.57%	5.39%	1.50	38
2015	19.25%	11.08%	1.16	19.25%	5.31%	1.30	46
2016	24.14%	15.30%	1.19	24.14%	10.45%	1.29	53
2017	21.28%	13.03%	1.11	21.28%	9.17%	1.20	37
2018	10.67%	9.31%	0.98	10.67%	3.14%	1.04	49

SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

ما طريب

Quarterly return

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q1 2001	-11.85%
Q2 2001	-9.42%
Q3 2001	-12.85%
Q4 2001	-11.61%
Q1 2002	-8.25%
Q2 2002	-12.15%
Q3 2002	-9.14%
Q4 2002	-9.99%
Q1 2003	-7.77%
Q2 2003	-2.16%
Q3 2003	-2.41%
Q4 2003	4.30%
Q1 2004	-2.07%
Q2 2004	0.97%
Q3 2004	-0.86%
Q4 2004	2.72%
Q1 2005	-1.62%
Q2 2005	0.54%
Q3 2005	4.95%

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q4 2005	2.88%	Q3 2010	3.79%	Q2 2015	5.83%
Q1 2006	3.04%	Q4 2010	6.22%	Q3 2015	0.27%
Q2 2006	1.03%	Q1 2011	4.42%	Q4 2015	2.23%
Q3 2006	1.98%	Q2 2011	4.84%	Q1 2016	-3.14%
Q4 2006	6.27%	Q3 2011	-0.21%	Q2 2016	-0.20%
Q1 2007	2.10%	Q4 2011	1.78%	Q3 2016	2.49%
Q2 2007	4.62%	Q1 2012	4.14%	Q4 2016	0.57%
Q3 2007	2.56%	Q2 2012	1.11%	Q1 2017	2.35%
Q4 2007	3.55%	Q3 2012	-0.25%	Q2 2017	1.80%
Q1 2008	2.18%	Q4 2012	1.90%	Q3 2017	3.89%
Q2 2008	-2.13%	Q1 2013	2.15%	Q4 2017	2.69%
Q3 2008	-3.20%	Q2 2013	4.36%	Q1 2018	6.09%
Q4 2008	-8.35%	Q3 2013	4.83%	Q2 2018	6.25%
Q1 2009	-3.47%	Q4 2013	7.05%	Q3 2018	3.41%
Q2 2009	-0.45%	Q1 2014	5.71%	Q4 2018	1.61%
Q3 2009	0.72%	Q2 2014	3.88%	Q1 2019	5.36%
Q4 2009	2.99%	Q3 2014	2.45%	Q2 2019	2.79%
Q1 2010	1.11%	Q4 2014	6.63%	Q3 2019	1.96%
Q2 2010	0.20%	Q1 2015	4.39%	Q4 2019	4.96%

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019

Real assets



Real assets

IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs					IRR hurdle	rates		
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-1996	18.01%	18.01%	1		22.30%	20.00%	8.91%		7.78%	9
1996	10.02%	7.45%	5	13.37%	11.18%	10.14%	6.42%	4.72%	6.24%	11
1997	14.83%	39.68%	8	23.12%	17.69%	14.55%	6.80%	2.35%	8.73%	12
1998	9.70%	9.53%	11	21.11%	12.90%	7.30%	3.78%	-1.50%	9.70%	15
1999	12.19%	12.28%	3		18.10%	11.04%	8.40%		7.48%	9
2000	15.25%	13.35%	12	23.47%	19.69%	13.00%	4.37%	2.74%	10.27%	15
2001	35.74%	34.23%	4	32.77%	28.76%	22.99%	16.57%	10.92%	11.34%	10
2002	24.23%	26.34%	6	32.91%	25.99%	10.58%	3.82%	-4.65%	22.27%	15
2003	17.81%	18.32%	7	32.95%	28.20%	17.53%	8.70%	4.15%	47.83%	21
2004	9.18%	7.72%	11	17.66%	11.62%	6.47%	1.20%	-1.02%	17.39%	27
2005	2.24%	2.48%	35	12.68%	6.08%	1.54%	-3.57%	-8.74%	17.83%	49
2006	-1.46%	-2.41%	43	7.96%	4.36%	-0.70%	-7.60%	-16.07%	15.62%	67
2007	3.75%	2.72%	70	12.78%	10.73%	4.73%	-1.25%	-13.53%	11.68%	92
2008	5.39%	5.51%	63	16.49%	11.10%	7.06%	0.28%	-6.94%	10.72%	86
2009	5.01%	6.12%	36	21.40%	16.05%	9.30%	1.87%	-12.30%	14.48%	41
2010	8.31%	7.88%	45	19.47%	17.09%	9.80%	6.24%	-2.72%	16.47%	60
Real assets

IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs			IRR hurdle rates					
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
2011	10.57%	9.58%	58	24.64%	19.36%	13.01%	6.31%	-4.18%	11.11%	62
2012	9.52%	10.17%	73	21.89%	15.84%	11.20%	8.00%	4.60%	15.43%	79
2013	10.65%	10.42%	76	19.38%	14.95%	10.65%	6.33%	-2.33%	12.06%	68
2014	9.89%	10.56%	96	21.86%	14.77%	11.73%	8.04%	2.74%	10.03%	88
2015	12.12%	11.27%	122	21.35%	15.13%	11.85%	8.28%	6.10%	19.40%	100
2016	10.15%	10.86%	101	26.34%	14.35%	9.78%	7.14%	1.06%	40.84%	102
2017	16.58%	17.76%	83	20.71%	14.81%	9.95%	2.24%	-19.11%	17.54%	70
2018	10.85%	36.72%	116	21.54%	11.70%	4.70%	-2.39%	-23.42%	25.61%	77

Multiples by vintage

		Pooled multiples		Equal-	Equal-weighted pooled multiples			
Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds	
Pre-1996	2.47x	2.47x	0.00x	2.47x	2.47x	0.00x	1	
1996	1.47x	1.47x	0.00x	1.49x	1.49x	0.00x	5	
1997	1.92x	1.82x	0.10x	2.77x	2.51x	0.26x	8	
1998	1.49x	1.48x	0.01x	1.50x	1.49x	0.01x	11	
1999	1.93x	1.92x	0.01x	1.87x	1.83x	0.03x	3	
2000	1.67x	1.67x	0.00x	1.59x	1.57x	0.01x	12	
2001	2.20x	2.20x	0.01x	2.23x	2.23x	0.00x	4	
2002	1.64x	1.63x	0.01x	1.75x	1.68x	0.07x	6	
2003	1.57x	1.56x	0.01x	1.68x	1.66x	0.02x	7	
2004	1.41x	1.39x	0.02x	1.41x	1.34x	0.07x	11	
2005	1.13x	1.09x	0.04x	1.15x	1.09x	0.06x	35	
2006	0.92x	0.83x	0.08x	0.86x	0.76x	0.10x	43	
2007	1.22x	1.14x	0.08x	1.15x	1.05x	0.10x	70	
2008	1.27x	1.09x	0.18x	1.28x	1.08x	0.20x	63	
2009	1.22x	0.98x	0.24x	1.30x	1.05x	0.25x	36	
2010	1.39x	0.98x	0.41x	1.41x	0.91x	0.50x	45	

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
2011	1.45x	1.05x	0.40x	1.43x	1.02x	0.40x	58
2012	1.37x	0.87x	0.50x	1.39x	0.95x	0.44x	73
2013	1.35x	0.77x	0.58x	1.35x	0.79x	0.56x	76
2014	1.27x	0.54x	0.74x	1.35x	0.51x	0.84x	96
2015	1.27x	0.42x	0.85x	1.30x	0.46x	0.84x	122
2016	1.19x	0.27x	0.92x	1.23x	0.34x	0.89x	101
2017	1.21x	0.21x	0.99x	1.25x	0.27x	0.98x	83
2018	1.11x	0.18x	0.93x	1.36x	0.43x	0.93x	116

Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I					DPI		
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-1996			2.47x					2.47x			1
1996		1.58x	1.46x	1.13x			1.58x	1.46x	1.13x		5
1997		2.53x	1.82x	1.38x			2.16x	1.60x	1.38x		8
1998	1.92x	1.56x	1.35x	1.31x	0.92x	1.92x	1.56x	1.35x	1.28x	0.92x	11
1999			2.20x					2.19x			3
2000	1.85x	1.59x	1.40x	1.22x	1.12x	1.85x	1.59x	1.40x	1.17x	1.10x	12
2001		2.70x	2.32x	1.88x			2.70x	2.32x	1.87x		4
2002		2.07x	1.94x	1.48x			2.00x	1.75x	1.45x		6
2003		1.94x	1.49x	1.30x			1.94x	1.49x	1.26x		7
2004	2.13x	1.78x	1.33x	1.07x	0.84x	1.91x	1.62x	1.33x	0.98x	0.84x	11
2005	1.94x	1.32x	1.10x	0.77x	0.60x	1.73x	1.29x	1.09x	0.72x	0.47x	35
2006	1.40x	1.14x	0.85x	0.52x	0.36x	1.29x	1.02x	0.68x	0.46x	0.15x	43
2007	1.70x	1.43x	1.15x	0.89x	0.43x	1.64x	1.36x	1.08x	0.77x	0.28x	70
2008	1.85x	1.59x	1.19x	0.95x	0.58x	1.67x	1.42x	1.05x	0.69x	0.46x	63
2009	2.12x	1.50x	1.28x	1.11x	0.50x	1.90x	1.45x	1.05x	0.48x	0.30x	36
2010	1.89x	1.61x	1.42x	1.21x	0.94x	1.62x	1.39x	0.89x	0.45x	0.29x	45

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I					DPI		
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
2011	2.05x	1.72x	1.45x	1.22x	0.83x	1.78x	1.45x	1.06x	0.51x	0.23x	58
2012	1.83x	1.53x	1.41x	1.24x	1.05x	1.51x	1.34x	0.95x	0.57x	0.22x	73
2013	1.62x	1.55x	1.38x	1.19x	0.97x	1.45x	1.20x	0.71x	0.39x	0.21x	76
2014	1.66x	1.49x	1.33x	1.19x	1.01x	1.11x	0.73x	0.40x	0.17x	0.08x	96
2015	1.52x	1.39x	1.28x	1.16x	1.03x	1.05x	0.67x	0.31x	0.12x	0.03x	122
2016	1.48x	1.31x	1.18x	1.10x	0.95x	0.66x	0.40x	0.22x	0.07x	0.00x	101
2017	1.40x	1.22x	1.10x	1.00x	0.83x	0.36x	0.22x	0.10x	0.01x	0.00x	83
2018	1.37x	1.13x	1.03x	0.94x	0.78x	0.34x	0.13x	0.03x	0.00x	0.00x	116

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

Real assets

PMEs by vintage

	S	&P 500 Index		Mo	Morningstar US Real Assets				
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds		
1996	10.02%	8.91%	1.14	10.02%			5		
1997	14.83%	8.22%	1.57	14.83%			8		
1998	9.70%	7.21%	1.46	9.70%			11		
1999	12.19%	6.42%	1.72	12.19%			3		
2000	15.25%	6.05%	1.51	15.25%			12		
2001	35.74%	6.93%	1.79	35.74%	6.61%	1.62	4		
2002	24.23%	7.97%	1.27	24.23%	6.56%	1.25	6		
2003	17.81%	10.14%	1.30	17.81%	6.24%	1.23	7		
2004	9.18%	8.78%	1.15	9.18%	5.48%	1.07	11		
2005	2.24%	8.90%	0.79	2.24%	4.94%	0.84	35		
2006	-1.46%	8.84%	0.60	-1.46%	4.56%	0.69	43		
2007	3.75%	8.52%	0.72	3.75%	4.31%	0.94	70		
2008	5.39%	9.59%	0.74	5.39%	3.47%	1.05	63		
2009	5.01%	15.67%	0.71	5.01%	5.21%	1.06	36		
2010	8.31%	13.24%	0.82	8.31%	3.73%	1.22	45		

Manning atox UC Deal Aca

Real assets

PMEs by vintage

	S	&P 500 Index		Mo	Morningstar US Real Assets					
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds			
2011	10.57%	12.68%	0.91	10.57%	2.32%	1.35	58			
2012	9.52%	13.60%	0.90	9.52%	1.74%	1.29	73			
2013	10.65%	13.43%	0.96	10.65%	1.66%	1.28	76			
2014	9.89%	11.72%	0.94	9.89%	2.14%	1.20	96			
2015	12.12%	11.08%	0.98	12.12%	1.80%	1.20	122			
2016	10.15%	15.30%	0.95	10.15%	3.94%	1.12	101			
2017	16.58%	13.03%	1.05	16.58%	3.21%	1.16	83			
2018	10.85%	9.31%	0.98	10.85%	3.61%	1.07	116			

Quarterly return

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q1 2001	2.58%
Q2 2001	1.55%
Q3 2001	-0.85%
Q4 2001	2.46%
Q1 2002	3.89%
Q2 2002	1.06%
Q3 2002	-0.02%
Q4 2002	-0.26%
Q1 2003	-2.09%
Q2 2003	1.91%
Q3 2003	4.09%
Q4 2003	10.48%
Q1 2004	-1.28%
Q2 2004	4.79%
Q3 2004	1.45%
Q4 2004	19.39%
Q1 2005	2.71%
Q2 2005	13.44%
Q3 2005	8.64%

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q4 2005	9.48%	Q3 2010	4.29%	Q2 2015	3.70%
Q1 2006	3.97%	Q4 2010	7.95%	Q3 2015	0.24%
Q2 2006	7.40%	Q1 2011	4.74%	Q4 2015	0.28%
Q3 2006	9.09%	Q2 2011	4.05%	Q1 2016	1.31%
Q4 2006	17.88%	Q3 2011	O.13%	Q2 2016	3.11%
Q1 2007	2.93%	Q4 2011	2.40%	Q3 2016	3.01%
Q2 2007	1.86%	Q1 2012	3.07%	Q4 2016	2.80%
Q3 2007	2.69%	Q2 2012	-0.06%	Q1 2017	3.73%
Q4 2007	6.37%	Q3 2012	2.98%	Q2 2017	3.10%
Q1 2008	-3.78%	Q4 2012	2.18%	Q3 2017	2.59%
Q2 2008	-2.92%	Q1 2013	3.46%	Q4 2017	2.43%
Q3 2008	-4.68%	Q2 2013	2.27%	Q1 2018	2.58%
Q4 2008	-12.47%	Q3 2013	1.63%	Q2 2018	2.88%
Q1 2009	-13.99%	Q4 2013	4.24%	Q3 2018	3.55%
Q2 2009	-7.83%	Q1 2014	3.34%	Q4 2018	-0.70%
Q3 2009	-3.28%	Q2 2014	4.71%	Q1 2019	2.91%
Q4 2009	-2.46%	Q3 2014	2.92%	Q2 2019	O.19%
Q1 2010	-3.75%	Q4 2014	0.24%	Q3 2019	-1.24%
Q2 2010	1.55%	Q1 2015	0.81%	Q4 2019	1.95%

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019



IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs					IRR hurdle	rates		
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-1996					18.55%	13.05%	8.04%		20.80%	8
1996	6.01%	6.01%	1			5.40%				1
1997	10.84%	16.53%	3		35.20%	21.68%	10.20%		22.55%	5
1998						10.66%			6.57%	2
1999	11.58%	11.00%	2			10.54%			2.04%	2
2000	8.01%	0.83%	4		16.26%	7.25%	0.55%		14.06%	6
2001	27.52%	29.86%	3		33.25%	27.56%	25.68%		12.04%	4
2002	17.50%	22.65%	4		17.01%	15.71%	10.50%		27.28%	6
2003	13.25%	13.44%	8	20.60%	13.23%	8.85%	7.39%	6.16%	7.63%	10
2004	8.31%	10.21%	4		14.99%	10.69%	7.05%		13.91%	7
2005	6.05%	5.79%	7		8.40%	5.00%	0.00%		7.01%	9
2006	6.83%	5.50%	13	10.69%	7.08%	4.20%	1.13%	-1.98%	6.68%	15
2007	6.86%	6.51%	20	12.68%	9.14%	5.60%	2.21%	-0.26%	7.25%	24
2008	14.15%	14.05%	17	18.50%	14.58%	11.84%	8.62%	7.29%	11.83%	24
2009	8.09%	7.21%	12	15.44%	11.40%	8.58%	4.70%	1.70%	7.93%	13
2010	12.25%	11.99%	19	17.76%	13.71%	11.60%	8.38%	6.84%	4.40%	27

IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs			IRR hurdle rates						
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds	
2011	9.14%	8.88%	13	11.51%	9.55%	8.00%	6.76%	6.05%	2.36%	19	
2012	6.92%	7.82%	32	13.69%	10.97%	7.14%	3.28%	0.99%	6.54%	35	
2013	6.53%	7.26%	37	11.77%	8.86%	7.50%	6.20%	4.56%	3.13%	41	
2014	6.47%	6.70%	40	12.06%	10.24%	8.37%	7.00%	3.92%	3.11%	35	
2015	6.29%	6.89%	56	13.24%	10.93%	8.68%	7.11%	3.95%	7.00%	46	
2016	8.50%	8.01%	44	15.43%	11.30%	8.40%	7.20%	2.30%	11.65%	33	
2017	7.72%	9.33%	58	19.66%	13.09%	9.30%	6.94%	4.55%	11.92%	53	
2018	5.03%	2.38%	52	15.11%	11.71%	8.65%	4.29%	-2.48%	76.54%	38	

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-1996							
1996	1.45x	1.45x	0.00x	1.45x	1.45x	0.00x	1
1997	1.70x	1.69x	0.01x	2.20x	2.18x	0.02x	3
1998							
1999	1.49x	1.48x	0.01x	1.48x	1.45x	0.03x	2
2000	1.26x	1.26x	0.00x	1.04x	1.04x	0.00x	4
2001	2.08x	2.08x	0.00x	2.15x	2.15x	0.00x	3
2002	1.71x	1.71x	0.00x	1.80x	1.79x	0.00x	4
2003	1.86x	1.77x	0.09x	1.95x	1.86x	0.08x	8
2004	1.34x	1.32x	0.02x	1.47x	1.45x	0.01x	4
2005	1.35x	1.34x	0.02x	1.30x	1.26x	0.04x	7
2006	1.52x	1.35x	0.17x	1.38x	1.23x	0.15x	13
2007	1.35x	1.31x	0.04x	1.32x	1.28x	0.04x	20
2008	1.69x	1.64x	0.05x	1.67x	1.61x	0.06x	17
2009	1.35x	1.27x	0.09x	1.29x	1.19x	0.10x	12
2010	1.55x	1.42x	0.14x	1.46x	1.34x	0.13x	19

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
2011	1.47x	1.14x	0.33x	1.40x	1.17x	0.23x	13
2012	1.27x	1.01x	0.26x	1.30x	0.99x	0.31x	32
2013	1.22x	0.90x	0.32x	1.24x	0.86x	0.38x	37
2014	1.23x	0.57x	0.66x	1.22x	0.58x	0.64x	40
2015	1.15x	0.43x	0.72x	1.17x	0.45x	0.72x	56
2016	1.14x	0.27x	0.87x	1.14x	0.35x	0.79x	44
2017	1.11x	0.20x	0.91x	1.12x	0.23x	0.90x	58
2018	1.04x	0.11x	0.93x	1.02x	0.14x	0.89x	52

Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I		DPI					
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-1996											
1996			1.45x					1.45x			1
1997			1.49x					1.49x			3
1998											
1999			1.48x					1.44x			2
2000		1.32x	1.09x	0.79x			1.32x	1.09x	0.79x		4
2001			1.88x					1.88x			3
2002		1.89x	1.70x	1.60x			1.88x	1.70x	1.60x		4
2003		1.98x	1.54x	1.47x			1.67x	1.49x	1.43x		8
2004		1.71x	1.65x	1.40x			1.70x	1.64x	1.38x		4
2005		1.47x	1.34x	1.25x			1.47x	1.34x	1.14x		7
2006	1.76x	1.36x	1.19x	1.10x	0.93x	1.64x	1.36x	1.18x	1.10x	0.92x	13
2007	1.69x	1.51x	1.27x	1.18x	1.05x	1.69x	1.51x	1.24x	1.08x	0.96x	20
2008	2.47x	1.81x	1.52x	1.36x	1.16x	2.44x	1.72x	1.51x	1.29x	1.09×	17
2009	1.60x	1.48x	1.29x	1.13x	1.05x	1.60x	1.44x	1.16x	1.05x	0.88x	12
2010	1.81x	1.58x	1.39x	1.28x	1.24x	1.65x	1.47x	1.32x	1.18x	0.96x	19

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I	DPI						
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
2011	1.65x	1.56x	1.37x	1.24x	1.15x	1.51x	1.34x	1.13x	1.05x	0.86x	13
2012	1.64x	1.38x	1.28x	1.10x	1.07x	1.35x	1.21x	1.03x	0.83x	0.47x	32
2013	1.42x	1.33x	1.21x	1.11x	1.03x	1.15x	1.07x	0.92x	0.79x	0.44x	37
2014	1.48x	1.28x	1.21x	1.12x	1.05x	1.01x	0.75x	0.59x	0.32x	0.22x	40
2015	1.31x	1.27x	1.20x	1.13x	1.00x	0.73x	0.61x	0.40x	0.27x	0.18x	56
2016	1.26x	1.19x	1.14x	1.11×	0.99x	0.77x	0.47x	0.25x	0.12x	0.04x	44
2017	1.21x	1.15x	1.09x	1.07x	0.98x	0.47x	0.32x	0.18x	0.09x	0.02x	58
2018	1.18x	1.10x	1.06x	1.01x	0.90x	0.34x	0.17x	0.04x	0.01x	0.00x	52

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

PMEs by vintage

	S	S&P 500 Index		Bloomberg Barclays US Corporate High Yield				
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds	
1996	6.01%	8.91%	1.36	6.01%	38.09%	0.00	1	
1997	10.84%	8.22%	1.55	10.84%	40.06%	0.02	3	
1998								
1999	11.58%	6.42%	1.76	11.58%	6.84%	1.19	2	
2000	8.01%	6.05%	1.19	8.01%	7.11%	0.94	4	
2001	27.52%	6.93%	1.60	27.52%	7.50%	1.42	3	
2002	17.50%	7.97%	1.28	17.50%	7.98%	1.19	4	
2003	13.25%	10.14%	1.34	13.25%	8.36%	1.24	8	
2004	8.31%	8.78%	1.14	8.31%	7.30%	1.05	4	
2005	6.05%	8.90%	1.16	6.05%	7.16%	0.91	7	
2006	6.83%	8.84%	0.92	6.83%	7.38%	0.85	13	
2007	6.86%	8.52%	0.99	6.86%	7.04%	0.86	20	
2008	14.15%	9.59%	1.06	14.15%	7.88%	0.99	17	
2009	8.09%	15.67%	0.81	8.09%	11.04%	0.95	12	
2010	12.25%	13.24%	0.92	12.25%	7.34%	1.19	19	

PMEs by vintage

	9	S&P 500 Index		Bloomberg Barclays US Corporate High Yield					
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds		
2011	9.14%	12.68%	0.83	9.14%	6.40%	1.09	13		
2012	6.92%	13.60%	0.81	6.92%	6.45%	1.03	32		
2013	6.53%	13.43%	0.86	6.53%	5.44%	1.02	37		
2014	6.47%	11.72%	0.84	6.47%	5.11%	1.02	40		
2015	6.29%	11.08%	0.88	6.29%	5.71%	1.00	56		
2016	8.50%	15.30%	0.93	8.50%	9.22%	1.03	44		
2017	7.72%	13.03%	0.94	7.72%	5.54%	1.02	58		
2018	5.03%	9.31%	0.94	5.03%	5.61%	0.98	52		

Quarterly return

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q1 2001	1.59%
Q2 2001	4.57%
Q3 2001	0.68%
Q4 2001	2.31%
Q1 2002	3.28%
Q2 2002	1.53%
Q3 2002	-1.49%
Q4 2002	1.34%
Q1 2003	3.29%
Q2 2003	7.31%
Q3 2003	-1.92%
Q4 2003	11.68%
Q1 2004	7.24%
Q2 2004	7.06%
Q3 2004	4.56%
Q4 2004	13.33%
Q1 2005	5.49%
Q2 2005	-3.44%
Q3 2005	8.37%

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q4 2005	3.55%	Q3 2010	2.18%	Q2 2015	-1.32%
Q1 2006	3.61%	Q4 2010	8.18%	Q3 2015	-0.90%
Q2 2006	5.81%	Q1 2011	3.78%	Q4 2015	-1.23%
Q3 2006	1.84%	Q2 2011	2.22%	Q1 2016	2.07%
Q4 2006	10.95%	Q3 2011	-5.13%	Q2 2016	0.60%
Q1 2007	3.66%	Q4 2011	9.20%	Q3 2016	4.06%
Q2 2007	8.85%	Q1 2012	-0.97%	Q4 2016	1.26%
Q3 2007	0.60%	Q2 2012	0.55%	Q1 2017	2.08%
Q4 2007	0.37%	Q3 2012	5.37%	Q2 2017	2.34%
Q1 2008	-1.85%	Q4 2012	3.10%	Q3 2017	1.74%
Q2 2008	-0.92%	Q1 2013	4.74%	Q4 2017	3.76%
Q3 2008	-8.28%	Q2 2013	1.98%	Q1 2018	2.58%
Q4 2008	-18.46%	Q3 2013	2.80%	Q2 2018	2.61%
Q1 2009	-4.29%	Q4 2013	2.59%	Q3 2018	0.68%
Q2 2009	9.99%	Q1 2014	2.44%	Q4 2018	-0.23%
Q3 2009	11.83%	Q2 2014	2.51%	Q1 2019	1.44%
Q4 2009	7.35%	Q3 2014	2.03%	Q2 2019	1.76%
Q1 2010	4.80%	Q4 2014	0.07%	Q3 2019	1.63%
Q2 2010	0.43%	Q1 2015	6.27%	Q4 2019	1.94%

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019



IRRs by vintage

Pool	ed I	RRs
------	------	-----

IRR hurdle rates

Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-1996				30.18%	17.27%	11.22%	1.84%	1.08%	15.31%	13
1996						15.17%			1.32%	2
1997	-5.91%	-6.19%	2		10.85%	0.38%	-7.65%		12.07%	7
1998	7.86%	7.64%	3	13.45%	10.93%	9.00%	7.41%	3.82%	6.01%	10
1999	5.39%	4.68%	8	16.49%	11.92%	4.26%	2.07%	-0.72%	6.78%	23
2000	5.60%	6.36%	9	13.19%	11.00%	6.72%	3.80%	2.40%	4.88%	21
2001	10.76%	8.15%	9	15.97%	12.45%	9.02%	6.78%	3.89%	5.36%	28
2002	7.87%	6.20%	4	12.21%	9.45%	7.81%	5.06%	3.82%	6.10%	19
2003	7.78%	5.79%	6	10.90%	9.03%	7.85%	5.66%	2.52%	3.94%	17
2004	7.99%	7.63%	11	11.60%	10.39%	7.20%	6.06%	3.19%	7.96%	35
2005	7.12%	7.47%	16	11.09%	8.88%	7.30%	5.40%	4.16%	12.24%	47
2006	8.17%	7.37%	35	11.67%	9.76%	7.03%	5.33%	2.64%	4.21%	66
2007	9.23%	8.32%	38	13.65%	10.65%	8.90%	5.98%	1.39%	5.71%	76
2008	3.11%	10.64%	39	17.43%	15.08%	11.97%	8.69%	2.85%	6.27%	66
2009	12.64%	13.13%	30	18.65%	16.04%	13.54%	10.34%	7.99%	4.60%	40
2010	11.79%	11.85%	32	15.83%	14.37%	12.41%	8.73%	6.48%	4.81%	44

IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs			IRR hurdle rates					
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
2011	13.31%	13.76%	45	19.84%	18.23%	14.13%	11.59%	8.66%	6.27%	52
2012	14.01%	13.94%	36	18.50%	16.49%	12.64%	9.27%	7.44%	5.36%	30
2013	14.01%	12.60%	47	21.24%	17.86%	14.38%	11.20%	6.86%	5.84%	35
2014	13.61%	12.85%	49	23.52%	17.94%	15.07%	11.90%	7.74%	15.04%	45
2015	13.67%	13.46%	48	23.94%	19.90%	15.69%	11.06%	6.95%	7.37%	41
2016	14.90%	11.58%	38	21.26%	17.03%	12.04%	8.41%	3.87%	6.59%	34
2017	6.08%	5.73%	25	20.16%	12.82%	8.00%	5.13%	-5.03%	12.90%	22
2018	10.21%	5.94%	29	26.46%	19.06%	12.33%	2.37%	-1.87%	12.88%	19

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	ТVРІ	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-1996							
1996							
1997	0.47x	0.31x	0.16x	0.46x	0.32x	0.14x	2
1998	1.48x	1.48x	0.01x	1.51x	1.50x	0.01x	3
1999	1.34x	1.33x	0.01x	1.30x	1.29x	0.01x	8
2000	1.34x	1.30x	0.03x	1.38x	1.35x	0.03x	9
2001	1.65x	1.55x	0.10x	1.56x	1.38x	0.18x	9
2002	1.44x	1.39x	0.05x	1.33x	1.30x	0.03x	4
2003	1.61x	1.51x	0.10x	1.41x	1.33x	0.09x	6
2004	1.54x	1.39x	0.15x	1.57x	1.35x	0.22x	11
2005	1.48x	1.31x	0.17x	1.51x	1.29x	0.22x	16
2006	1.61x	1.32x	0.29x	1.56x	1.31x	0.25x	35
2007	1.65x	1.27x	0.38x	1.55x	1.23x	0.32x	38
2008	1.18×	0.80x	0.38x	1.71x	1.07x	0.64x	39
2009	1.74x	1.01x	0.73x	1.78x	1.16x	0.62x	30
2010	1.68x	0.95x	0.73x	1.71x	0.86x	0.85x	32

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
2011	1.67x	0.74x	0.93x	1.73x	0.75x	0.98x	45
2012	1.66x	0.53x	1.13x	1.64x	0.58x	1.07x	36
2013	1.52x	0.39x	1.13x	1.49x	0.35x	1.14x	47
2014	1.44x	0.27x	1.17x	1.43x	0.32x	1.12x	49
2015	1.32x	0.25x	1.08x	1.33x	0.23x	1.10x	48
2016	1.29x	0.13x	1.16x	1.22x	0.12x	1.10x	38
2017	1.07x	0.06x	1.01x	1.07x	0.06x	1.01x	25
2018	1.09x	0.04x	1.05x	1.05x	0.05x	1.00x	29

Multiples by vintage

	TOp decile Top quartile Median TVPI Bottom quartile Bottom quartile Image: Strate Str							DPI			
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-1996											
1996											
1997			0.46x					0.32x			2
1998			1.56x					1.56x			3
1999		1.47x	1.24x	1.14x			1.46x	1.21x	1.14x		8
2000		1.57x	1.50x	1.21x			1.57x	1.48x	1.12x		9
2001		1.70x	1.49x	1.49x			1.70x	1.43x	1.27x		9
2002		1.47x	1.41x	1.28x			1.41x	1.38x	1.27x		4
2003		1.62x	1.54x	1.28x			1.48x	1.45x	1.22x		6
2004	1.80x	1.56x	1.52x	1.49x	1.41x	1.57x	1.48x	1.38x	1.26x	1.14x	11
2005	1.80x	1.63x	1.46x	1.37x	1.28x	1.65x	1.40x	1.30x	1.16x	1.03x	16
2006	1.90x	1.77x	1.62x	1.37x	1.24x	1.71x	1.43x	1.27x	1.19x	1.06x	35
2007	1.93x	1.80x	1.57x	1.29x	1.02x	1.58x	1.40x	1.30x	1.02x	0.83x	38
2008	2.38x	2.02x	1.68x	1.46x	1.03x	1.58x	1.30x	1.06x	0.88x	0.51x	39
2009	2.19x	1.95x	1.77x	1.60x	1.43x	1.72x	1.43x	1.12x	0.87x	0.63x	30
2010	2.41x	1.80x	1.64x	1.47x	1.24x	1.28x	1.05x	0.86x	0.69x	0.53x	32

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

Multiples by vintage

			TVP	I					DPI		
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
2011	2.32x	1.94x	1.73x	1.45x	1.29x	1.07x	0.92x	0.74x	0.66x	0.35x	45
2012	2.21x	1.86x	1.51x	1.38x	1.11x	1.11x	0.74x	0.50x	0.29x	0.22x	36
2013	1.96x	1.66x	1.48x	1.26x	1.05x	0.66x	0.49x	0.27x	0.17x	0.09x	47
2014	1.95x	1.51x	1.41x	1.18x	1.09x	0.73x	0.30x	0.23x	0.13x	0.03x	49
2015	1.69x	1.54x	1.33x	1.21x	1.00x	0.50x	0.34x	0.16x	0.05x	0.02x	48
2016	1.45x	1.30x	1.23x	1.14x	0.98x	0.20x	0.13x	0.08x	0.00x	0.00x	38
2017	1.25x	1.22x	1.11x	0.99x	0.50x	0.16x	0.05x	0.02x	0.00x	0.00x	25
2018	1.26x	1.14x	1.05x	0.97x	0.76x	0.12x	0.01x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	29

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

PMEs by vintage

	S	&P 500 Index			Russell 3000 Index	x	
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
1996							
1997	-5.91%	8.22%	0.31	-5.91%	8.34%	0.29	2
1998	7.86%	7.21%	1.25	7.86%	7.37%	1.21	3
1999	5.39%	6.42%	1.05	5.39%	6.79%	1.02	8
2000	5.60%	6.05%	0.99	5.60%	6.27%	0.97	9
2001	10.76%	6.93%	1.12	10.76%	7.20%	1.10	9
2002	7.87%	7.97%	1.05	7.87%	8.20%	1.03	4
2003	7.78%	10.14%	1.05	7.78%	10.36%	1.04	6
2004	7.99%	8.78%	1.01	7.99%	8.86%	1.00	11
2005	7.12%	8.90%	0.94	7.12%	8.95%	0.93	16
2006	8.17%	8.84%	0.87	8.17%	8.75%	0.87	35
2007	9.23%	8.52%	0.88	9.23%	8.43%	0.87	38
2008	3.11%	9.59%	0.57	3.11%	9.58%	0.58	39
2009	12.64%	15.67%	0.95	12.64%	15.67%	0.96	30
2010	11.79%	13.24%	0.93	11.79%	13.06%	0.94	32

PMEs by vintage

	S	S&P 500 Index			Russell 3000 Inde	x	
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2011	13.31%	12.68%	1.00	13.31%	12.31%	1.01	45
2012	14.01%	13.60%	1.06	14.01%	13.28%	1.07	36
2013	14.01%	13.43%	1.05	14.01%	13.02%	1.06	47
2014	13.61%	11.72%	1.03	13.61%	11.08%	1.05	49
2015	13.67%	11.08%	1.01	13.67%	10.54%	1.02	48
2016	14.90%	15.30%	1.03	14.90%	15.15%	1.04	38
2017	6.08%	13.03%	0.93	6.08%	12.36%	0.94	25
2018	10.21%	9.31%	0.98	10.21%	8.65%	0.99	29

SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

Quarterly return

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q1 2001	-13.20%
Q2 2001	-6.85%
Q3 2001	-10.06%
Q4 2001	-6.35%
Q1 2002	-6.38%
Q2 2002	-6.54%
Q3 2002	-7.28%
Q4 2002	-5.16%
Q1 2003	-8.76%
Q2 2003	3.76%
Q3 2003	0.18%
Q4 2003	-5.89%
Q1 2004	4.35%
Q2 2004	1.90%
Q3 2004	5.23%
Q4 2004	6.33%
Q1 2005	1.84%
Q2 2005	5.83%
Q3 2005	5.30%

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q4 2005	7.48%	Q3 2010	-2.47%	Q2 2015	5.99%
Q1 2006	4.26%	Q4 2010	3.13%	Q3 2015	2.30%
Q2 2006	5.89%	Q1 2011	3.75%	Q4 2015	0.40%
Q3 2006	4.30%	Q2 2011	5.34%	Q1 2016	1.44%
Q4 2006	8.96%	Q3 2011	-2.69%	Q2 2016	1.44%
Q1 2007	-0.10%	Q4 2011	-0.29%	Q3 2016	3.68%
Q2 2007	10.20%	Q1 2012	5.39%	Q4 2016	0.77%
Q3 2007	3.22%	Q2 2012	0.91%	Q1 2017	3.21%
Q4 2007	3.21%	Q3 2012	-1.04%	Q2 2017	3.91%
Q1 2008	8.58%	Q4 2012	3.08%	Q3 2017	3.24%
Q2 2008	-2.81%	Q1 2013	1.93%	Q4 2017	1.73%
Q3 2008	-6.56%	Q2 2013	3.26%	Q1 2018	4.63%
Q4 2008	-9.22%	Q3 2013	2.83%	Q2 2018	4.80%
Q1 2009	-2.38%	Q4 2013	3.95%	Q3 2018	2.29%
Q2 2009	-4.44%	Q1 2014	2.57%	Q4 2018	2.07%
Q3 2009	4.51%	Q2 2014	6.36%	Q1 2019	2.46%
Q4 2009	2.41%	Q3 2014	1.28%	Q2 2019	3.88%
Q1 2010	4.99%	Q4 2014	2.90%	Q3 2019	1.32%
Q2 2010	0.49%	Q1 2015	3.08%	Q4 2019	2.06%



IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs					IRR hurdle	rates		
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-1996				34.97%	33.58%	28.69%	15.70%	13.98%	11.73%	13
1996	18.56%	18.56%	1			31.48%			16.00%	3
1997	16.60%	16.60%	1			16.60%				1
1998	8.97%	11.56%	3		7.84%	5.38%	4.06%		7.38%	9
1999	7.33%	5.52%	3		13.90%	8.60%	4.77%		7.80%	9
2000	15.09%	14.35%	3		20.20%	12.00%	9.80%		7.56%	5
2001	14.21%	16.14%	2		21.34%	18.01%	15.45%		6.12%	4
2002	16.03%	17.98%	4		23.24%	21.38%	18.51%		14.87%	6
2003	37.90%	37.90%	1		24.60%	17.93%	13.92%		8.59%	7
2004	10.70%	8.74%	6		9.95%	5.58%	3.30%		9.99%	8
2005	5.86%	4.87%	9	6.90%	6.41%	4.64%	3.00%	-4.50%	5.06%	12
2006	6.36%	6.90%	9	14.17%	12.05%	8.00%	4.31%	2.20%	5.27%	11
2007	4.37%	8.09%	11	12.53%	7.98%	7.60%	5.05%	-0.11%	8.89%	13
2008	10.98%	11.32%	16	24.79%	14.84%	11.94%	9.11%	5.15%	7.89%	16
2009	11.65%	11.65%	10	21.97%	16.08%	11.70%	9.82%	8.50%	6.90%	11
2010	12.15%	12.39%	7	19.21%	18.03%	14.45%	9.10%	7.53%	5.48%	12

IRRs by vintage

		Pooled IRRs			IRR hurdle rates						
Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds	
2011	14.48%	12.72%	11	18.94%	18.16%	14.61%	11.68%	9.61%	4.05%	14	
2012	13.17%	13.01%	12	19.95%	16.77%	14.88%	12.75%	10.03%	4.26%	12	
2013	11.19%	10.76%	15	18.46%	17.41%	14.20%	11.00%	6.69%	13.66%	17	
2014	16.09%	14.12%	13	27.30%	19.05%	16.61%	13.33%	11.58%	6.37%	12	
2015	17.17%	23.15%	10		19.30%	17.10%	15.00%		11.66%	9	
2016	22.90%	22.94%	15	49.40%	34.40%	23.43%	19.85%	15.35%	17.45%	17	
2017	23.58%	16.76%	14	45.37%	27.96%	18.02%	14.36%	7.17%	20.27%	15	
2018	75.85%	76.93%	13	694.00%	78.27%	32.26%	17.79%	10.32%	1866.44%	10	

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-1996							
1996	1.55x	1.55x	0.00x	1.55x	1.55x	0.00x	1
1997	1.59x	1.59x	0.00x	1.59x	1.59x	0.00x	1
1998	1.33x	1.33x	0.00x	1.35x	1.35x	0.00x	3
1999	1.24x	1.24x	0.00x	1.19x	1.19x	0.00x	3
2000	1.64x	1.64x	0.00x	1.62x	1.62x	0.00x	3
2001	1.55x	1.54x	0.02x	1.62x	1.61x	0.01x	2
2002	1.51x	1.50x	0.01x	1.53x	1.53x	0.00x	4
2003	1.84x	1.84x	0.00x	1.84x	1.84x	0.00x	1
2004	1.47x	1.42x	0.06x	1.38x	1.33x	0.04x	6
2005	1.34x	1.29x	0.04x	1.27x	1.23x	0.04x	9
2006	1.37x	1.26x	0.12x	1.42x	1.31x	0.11x	9
2007	1.19x	1.10x	0.10x	1.37x	1.27x	0.10x	11
2008	1.54x	1.37x	0.17x	1.55x	1.35x	0.21x	16
2009	1.55x	1.36x	0.19x	1.54x	1.29x	0.25x	10
2010	1.46x	1.34x	0.12x	1.51x	1.26x	0.25x	7

Multiples by vintage

Pooled multiples

Equal-weighted pooled multiples

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
2011	1.56x	1.25x	0.31x	1.52x	1.08x	0.44x	11
2012	1.52x	1.09x	0.43x	1.45x	0.96x	0.49x	12
2013	1.42x	0.74x	0.68x	1.40x	0.69x	0.70x	15
2014	1.34x	0.59x	0.75x	1.40x	0.56x	0.84x	13
2015	1.32x	0.35x	0.97x	1.44x	0.76x	0.68x	10
2016	1.38x	0.32x	1.06x	1.39x	0.37x	1.02x	15
2017	1.26x	0.28x	0.98x	1.21x	0.24x	0.97x	14
2018	1.49x	0.12x	1.37x	1.60x	0.16x	1.44x	13

Multiples by vintage

		TVPI TVPI Rotom quartile Rotom decile Rotom quartile Rotom decile Iop decile Top quartile Median TVPI Rotom quartile Rotom decile Rotom d							DPI		
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-1996											
1996			1.55x					1.55x			1
1997			1.59x					1.59x			1
1998			1.32x					1.32x			3
1999			1.26x					1.25x			3
2000			1.74x					1.74x			3
2001			1.63x					1.61x			2
2002		1.58x	1.54x	1.49x			1.57x	1.54x	1.49x		4
2003			1.84x					1.84x			1
2004		1.62x	1.43x	1.27x			1.52x	1.38x	1.24x		6
2005		1.42x	1.31x	1.21x			1.34x	1.25x	1.19×		9
2006		1.43x	1.38x	1.20x			1.32x	1.26x	1.14x		9
2007	1.71x	1.54x	1.38x	1.26x	0.87x	1.54x	1.34x	1.31x	1.11x	0.83x	11
2008	1.75x	1.63x	1.49x	1.37x	1.35x	1.65x	1.46x	1.37x	1.20x	0.92x	16
2009	1.83x	1.69x	1.52x	1.35x	1.20x	1.69x	1.46x	1.36x	1.18x	0.75x	10
2010		1.67x	1.52x	1.38x			1.43x	1.25x	1.13x		7

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

Multiples by vintage

		TVPI Softward Partie Median TVPI Bottom quartile Bottom decile Softward Partie Bottom decile Softward Partie					DPI					
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds	
2011	1.84x	1.65x	1.47x	1.32x	1.28x	1.35x	1.22x	1.09x	0.90x	0.84x	11	
2012	1.69x	1.56x	1.44x	1.35x	1.31x	1.23x	1.20x	1.01x	0.83x	0.64x	12	
2013	1.71x	1.56x	1.43x	1.22x	1.17x	0.94x	0.79x	0.75x	0.58x	0.31x	15	
2014	1.61x	1.41x	1.32x	1.31x	1.22x	0.93x	0.71x	0.51x	0.46x	0.28x	13	
2015	1.64x	1.54x	1.42x	1.30x	1.28x	1.35x	0.88x	0.72x	0.39x	0.25x	10	
2016	1.62x	1.43x	1.35x	1.24x	1.20x	0.82x	0.45x	0.31x	0.17x	0.09x	15	
2017	1.37x	1.31x	1.19x	1.09x	1.05x	0.37x	0.32x	0.23x	0.10x	0.01x	14	
2018	1.72x	1.55x	1.29x	1.15x	1.06x	0.28x	0.24x	0.08x	0.00x	0.00x	13	

For RVPI data, please download the supplemental Excel pack

PMEs by vintage

	S&P 500 Index			Russell 3000 Index			
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
1996	18.56%	8.91%	1.28	18.56%	8.95%	1.26	1
1997	16.60%	8.22%	1.36	16.60%	8.34%	1.35	1
1998	8.97%	7.21%	1.27	8.97%	7.37%	1.24	3
1999	7.33%	6.42%	1.23	7.33%	6.79%	1.20	3
2000	15.09%	6.05%	1.38	15.09%	6.27%	1.34	3
2001	14.21%	6.93%	1.20	14.21%	7.20%	1.18	2
2002	16.03%	7.97%	1.23	16.03%	8.20%	1.21	4
2003	37.90%	10.14%	1.57	37.90%	10.36%	1.55	1
2004	10.70%	8.78%	1.14	10.70%	8.86%	1.14	6
2005	5.86%	8.90%	0.93	5.86%	8.95%	0.92	9
2006	6.36%	8.84%	0.92	6.36%	8.75%	0.91	9
2007	4.37%	8.52%	0.80	4.37%	8.43%	0.79	11
2008	10.98%	9.59%	0.89	10.98%	9.58%	0.89	16
2009	11.65%	15.67%	0.90	11.65%	15.67%	0.90	10
2010	12.15%	13.24%	0.95	12.15%	13.06%	0.96	7

SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

Duranell 7000 lade
Secondaries

PMEs by vintage

	S	&P 500 Index		Russell 3000 Index			
Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2011	14.48%	12.68%	1.00	14.48%	12.31%	1.01	11
2012	13.17%	13.60%	0.98	13.17%	13.28%	0.99	12
2013	11.19%	13.43%	0.97	11.19%	13.02%	0.99	15
2014	16.09%	11.72%	1.06	16.09%	11.08%	1.07	13
2015	17.17%	11.08%	1.08	17.17%	10.54%	1.10	10
2016	22.90%	15.30%	1.15	22.90%	15.15%	1.16	15
2017	23.58%	13.03%	1.11	23.58%	12.36%	1.12	14
2018	75.85%	9.31%	1.38	75.85%	8.65%	1.39	13

SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

Secondaries

Quarterly return

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)			
Q1 2001	-5.21%			
Q2 2001	-5.42%			
Q3 2001	0.79%			
Q4 2001	5.62%			
Q1 2002	4.27%			
Q2 2002	2.39%			
Q3 2002	-5.48%			
Q4 2002	-3.88%			
Q1 2003	-3.66%			
Q2 2003	-0.36%			
Q3 2003	1.03%			
Q4 2003	18.18%			
Q1 2004	0.49%			
Q2 2004	6.18%			
Q3 2004	6.69%			
Q4 2004	7.38%			
Q1 2005	6.24%			
Q2 2005	3.51%			
Q3 2005	3.51%			

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)	Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)
Q4 2005	1.32%	Q3 2010	6.26%	Q2 2015	6.54%
Q1 2006	11.80%	Q4 2010	6.79%	Q3 2015	1.68%
Q2 2006	4.56%	Q1 2011	6.93%	Q4 2015	0.10%
Q3 2006	4.08%	Q2 2011	4.26%	Q1 2016	0.25%
Q4 2006	7.21%	Q3 2011	5.90%	Q2 2016	3.09%
Q1 2007	2.64%	Q4 2011	-4.07%	Q3 2016	1.32%
Q2 2007	9.89%	Q1 2012	4.13%	Q4 2016	2.92%
Q3 2007	8.80%	Q2 2012	3.29%	Q1 2017	3.61%
Q4 2007	4.76%	Q3 2012	4.93%	Q2 2017	4.01%
Q1 2008	2.58%	Q4 2012	2.24%	Q3 2017	3.62%
Q2 2008	-2.44%	Q1 2013	0.46%	Q4 2017	3.66%
Q3 2008	0.68%	Q2 2013	1.13%	Q1 2018	2.57%
Q4 2008	-5.61%	Q3 2013	1.97%	Q2 2018	6.85%
Q1 2009	-10.87%	Q4 2013	4.82%	Q3 2018	3.55%
Q2 2009	-4.29%	Q1 2014	3.70%	Q4 2018	0.87%
Q3 2009	-0.49%	Q2 2014	3.39%	Q1 2019	2.55%
Q4 2009	0.51%	Q3 2014	3.70%	Q2 2019	4.99%
Q1 2010	1.19%	Q4 2014	2.94%	Q3 2019	O.13%
Q2 2010	6.14%	Q1 2015	2.44%	Q4 2019	3.65%

Source: PitchBook. Data as of December 31, 2019

PitchBook

COPYRIGHT © 2020 by PitchBook Data, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, and information storage and retrieval systems—without the express written permission of PitchBook Data, Inc. Contents are based on information from sources believed to be reliable, but accuracy and completeness cannot be guaranteed. Nothing herein should be construed as investment advice, a past, current or future recommendation to buy or sell any security or an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security. This material does not purport to contain all of the information that a prospective investor may wish to consider and is not to be relied upon as such or used in substitution for the exercise of independent judgment.