### **PitchBook**.

# PitchBook Benchmarks

**PRIVATE MARKETS** DATA THROUGH 1Q 2018



### Contents

Introduction	3
Methodology	4-6
Spotlight: Taking stock of private market returns	7-10
Private capital	12
Horizon IRRs	13
Equal-weighted horizon IRRs	14
Private equity	15
IRRs by vintage	16
Multiples by vintage	17-18
PMEs by vintage	19
Quarterly return	20

Venture capital	21	PME
IRRs by vintage	22	Qua
Multiples by vintage	23-24	Fun
PMEs by vintage	25	IRRs
Quarterly return	26	Mult
Real assets	27	PME
IRRs by vintage	28	Qua
Multiples by vintage	29-30	Seco
PMEs by vintage	31	IRRs
Quarterly return	32	Mult
Debt	33	PME
IRRs by vintage	34	Qua
Multiples by vintage	35-36	

Es by vintage	37
arterly return	38
id-of-funds	39
s by vintage	40
tiples by vintage	41-42
Es by vintage	43
arterly return	ΛΛ
	44
ondaries	45
s by vintage	<b>4</b> 5 46
s by vintage tiples by vintage	<b>45</b> 46 47-48
s by vintage tiples by vintage Es by vintage	<b>45</b> 46 47-48 49

### Introduction

PitchBook Benchmarks aim to help both LPs and GPs better understand private market fund performance relative to broader asset classes and other PE and VC strategies. Performance is presented through several lenses—including IRRs and cash multiples—to provide a holistic view for assessing performance within and between strategies, as well as across vintage years. Furthermore, the alpha of private market funds is measured relative to easily accessible public market substitutes using a PME metric.

Each edition of our Benchmarks will include a section that highlights a specific aspect of fund performance. In this version, we examine the evolution of performance of private market funds alongside public equities using quarterly return data. To help visualize trends, we employ an indexing methodology starting at a base of 100, then apply the quarterly return on a rolling basis to create a "NAV index." In addition to assessing relative performance in different periods, viewing data through this lens allows investors to see the correlation between private market fund strategies.

We strive to maintain consistency in each edition of PitchBook Benchmarks, but fund classifications will change occasionally and new funds will be incorporated into the dataset as we gather additional information. Below you'll find detailed benchmark statistics across PE, VC, debt, real assets, funds-of-funds and secondaries strategies. To easily access all of the data points found in this PDF, along with benchmark statistics for a host of other sub-strategies and geographies, be sure to download the accompanying Excel data packs (PE, VC, Debt & Real Assets and Alternative Access Strategies). Through these data packs, subscribers to the PitchBook Platform can also gain direct access to all the underlying funds and performance metrics used to calculate our Benchmarks.

Our goal is to provide the most transparent, comprehensive and useful fund performance data for private market professionals. We hope that our Benchmarks prove useful in your practice, and we welcome any and all feedback that may arise as you make your way through our various benchmark groupings. Should there be any additional benchmark categories or data points you would like to see included in the future, please contact us directly at benchmarks@pitchbook.com.

### Methodology

### **Data composition**

PitchBook's fund returns data is primarily composed of individual LP reports, serving as the baseline for our estimates of activity across an entire fund. For any given fund, return profiles will vary for LPs due to a range of factors, including fee discounts, timing of commitments and inclusion of co-investments. This granularity of LP-reported returns—all available on the PitchBook Platform—provides helpful insight to industry practitioners but results in discrepancies that must be addressed when calculating fund-level returns.

To be included in pooled calculations, a fund must have: (i) at least one LP report within two years of the fund's vintage, and (ii) LP reports in at least 45% of applicable reporting periods. To mitigate discrepancies among multiple LPs reporting, the PitchBook Benchmarks (iii) determine returns for each fund based on data from all LP reports in a given period. For periods that lack an LP report, (iv) a straight-line interpolation calculation is used to populate the missing data; interpolated data is used for approximately 10% of reporting periods. All returns data in this report is net of fees.

### Definitions

#### Vintage year:

The vintage year is based on the year of first investment. If year of first investment is unknown, the year of the final close is used as the vintage year. However, if a firm publicly declares via press release or a notice on their website a fund to be of a particular vintage different than either of the first conditions, the firm's classification takes precedence.

#### Internal rate of return (IRR):

IRR represents the rate at which a series of cash flows are discounted so that the net present value of cash flows equals zero. For fund-level IRRs, any remaining value in the fund is treated as a distribution in the most recent reporting period. This explains why some vintages show high IRRs but low DPI values.

### Distributions to paid-in (DPI):

A measurement of the capital that has been distributed back to LPs as a proportion of the total paid-in, or contributed, capital. DPI is also known as the cash-on-cash multiple or the realization multiple.

### Remaining value to paid-in (RVPI):

A measurement of the unrealized return of a fund as a proportion of the total paid-in, or contributed, capital.

#### Total value to paid-in (TVPI):

A measurement of both the realized and unrealized value of a fund as a proportion of the total paid-in, or contributed, capital. Also known as the investment multiple, TVPI can be found by adding together the DPI and RVPI of a fund.

#### Fund count:

Some funds in our dataset have cash flow data but no reported IRR figure. We do not calculate individual fund IRRs using quarterly cash flows, which means the sample sizes may differ for pooled calculations and median calculations.

### Methodology

#### Median calculations:

Shows the middle data point for a sample group.

#### **Pooled calculations:**

All cash flows and NAVs for the sample group are aggregated in the calculation. For vintage-specific calculations, we begin the calculation in 1Q of the vintage year. In cases where the sample has unrealized value, the ending NAV is treated as a cash outflow in the last reporting period.

### Equal-weighted pooled calculations:

Each fund's cash flows and ending NAV are expressed as a ratio of fund size. Each fund's ratios are then used to compute pooled calculations for IRR and cash multiples using the methodology outlined above. Regardless of fund size, each fund in these calculations has an equal impact on the output.

#### Horizon IRR:

Horizon IRR is a capital-weighted pooled calculation that shows the IRR from a certain point in time. For example, the one-year horizon IRR figures in this report show the IRR performance for the one-year period beginning in 2Q 2017 through the end of 1Q 2018, while the three-year horizon IRR is for the period beginning in 2Q 2015 through the end of 1Q 2018.

#### **Quarterly NAV change:**

The percentage change in aggregate NAV is calculated for each group of funds in a sample, considering contributions and distributions during the quarter.

#### Standard deviation:

Calculated using the sample-based standard deviation methodology.

#### Public market index returns:

Instances where the return of a public market index is cited, we have calculated the annualized return for the given period. All public indices are total return and denominated in US dollars.

#### Public market equivalent (PME) calculations:

PME metrics benchmark the performance of a fund (or group of funds) against an index. A white paper detailing the calculations and methodology behind the PME benchmarks can be found at pitchbook.com. PitchBook News & Analysis also contains several articles with PME benchmarks and analysis. These can be read here. All PME figures are calculated using the Kaplan-Schoar PME method:

$$\mathsf{PME}_{\mathsf{KS-TVPI,T}} = \frac{\frac{\mathsf{NAV}_{T}}{\mathsf{I}_{T}} + \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left( \frac{\mathsf{distribution}_{t}}{\mathsf{I}_{t}} \right)}{\sum_{t=0}^{T} \left( \frac{\mathsf{contribution}_{t}}{\mathsf{I}_{t}} \right)}$$

When using a KS-PME, a value greater than 1.0 implies outperformance of the public index (net of all fees).

### **Fund classifications**

Private equity	Rea
Buyout	
Growth/expansion	
Mezzanine	
Restructuring/turnaround	
Diversified PE	

#### Debt

Direct lending	
Bridge financing	
Distressed debt	
Credit special situations	Vent
Infrastructure debt	Seco
Venture debt	5600
Real estate debt	Fund

#### assets

- Real estate core
- Real estate core plus
- Real estate distressed
- Real estate opportunistic
- Real estate value added
- Energy
- Infrastructure
- Timber
- Mining
- ure capital
- ondaries
- l-of-funds

### Spotlight: Taking stock of private market returns

#### Key takeaways

- Using our quarterly NAV index methodology, we find that PE funds have posted the strongest returns among private market strategies since 2001, as well as over the last five years and 10 years.
- Certain private market strategies—particularly PE, VC and FoFs—exhibit high correlations with each other, but we find the strongest correlation between PE funds and public equities across multiple time horizons. The correlation between the PE NAV index and the S&P 500 TR has been 0.75 since 2001. It is even higher when rebased to 2008, but we have seen the correlation fall to 0.51 since 2013.
- VC funds have significantly underperformed private market peers when compounding returns since 2001 due to the carnage inflicted by the dot-com bubble; however, when rebasing the VC NAV index to more recent periods (i.e. 2008 and 2013), VC funds have posted strong returns on both an absolute and relative basis, outperforming most private market strategies.

#### Overview

One of the benefits of private market strategies is that they insulate investors from the volatility of public markets. Some argue that this is naïve, and that the perceived lower volatility is really just illiquidity. But even if that is the case, the fact remains that the closed-end fund structure largely restricts investors (i.e. LPs) from panic selling during a downturn. "I think a lot of people go into [private equity] with very open eyes, knowing the inability to mark to market allows them to be better investors," said Cliff Asness, founder of quantitative investment firm AQR Capital Management, during a recent interview.<sup>1</sup>

But while the long-term perspective of private market funds is one of their purported benefits, investors nonetheless have a desire to evaluate fund performance on a regular basis. This is a difficult undertaking in private markets, however, with quarterly

(NAV at end of quarter + distributions during the quarter - contributions during the quarter

1: "Cliff Asness - The Past, The Present & Future of Quant," Invest Like the Best, Patrick O'Shaughnessy

NAV at the beginning of the quarter

Quarterly percentage change

intervals being the shortest feasible timeframe to measure aggregate performance. To assess guarterly performance, we calculate the aggregate percentage change in aggregate NAV for each group of funds in a sample, considering contributions and distributions during the quarter.

This calculation employs the same pooling methodology used for other aggregated metrics in PitchBook Benchmarks. Our default is to use capital-weighted calculations, but equalweighted versions are also available. When public indices are shown, the guarterly change is based on the average value of the index during the period.

To help visualize this data, we utilize an indexing methodology starting at a base of 100, then apply the guarterly return on a rolling basis to create a "NAV index." Performance across different periods of the market cycle can be made by rebasing the calculation at a different start point. The NAV index can also be tailored to specific fund sizes or geographies, and multiple strategies can be combined to more accurately reflect specific portfolio exposure.

Another benefit of this methodology is that it provides an output with which investors are familiar and that can be easily juxtaposed against public market indices. While there are shortcomings to these comparisons—and we still recommend PME calculations for benchmarking against public market indices—the NAV index view can be instructive when assessing broad market trends.

### PE outperforms over the long term NAV index rebased to 100 in January 2001



#### PE comes out on top

For this spotlight, we rebased our calculation to three different years to assess how performance has evolved over different periods. In terms of aggregate value accretion, PE finishes at the top of the pack among private market strategies across all three timeframes,

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

with some of the most significant outperformance occurring over the last three years. When starting the calculation in 2001, private debt funds had outperformed through 2015, largely due to superior relative performance through the GFC, before ceding the top position to PE in the recent rally.

Over the longest horizon, VC funds have significantly underperformed due to the damage of the dot-com bubble. It took nearly 15 years for VC funds to fully recover, only managing to consistently crest the breakeven point since mid-2016. But the lasting effects of the dot-com bubble perhaps become most evident when rebasing VC returns to more recent periods. When observing performance from either 2008 or 2013, for example, VC funds have posted strong returns on both an absolute and relative basis, outperforming every private market strategy besides PE.

The performance picture looks relatively consistent across the two longest timeframes in our spotlight, but it changes drastically in the most recent period (i.e. when rebasing to 2013). Private debt funds—one of the best-performing strategies over the longest horizon trail all other strategies in the most recent period. FoFs significantly underperform over the longest horizon, but performance more recently has been better than most private market strategies. One major factor that appears to be contributing to these changes is the powerful performance of equity markets, with the S&P 500 TR beating all private market strategies by a healthy margin since 2013, which has provided a strong rationale for equity-oriented private market strategies to raise their portfolio valuations.

### Private market strategies recently have failed to keep up with public equities



#### 100.00 80.00 2013 2014 2015 -----Real assets

#### We're not so different after all

120.00

In addition to assessing performance, guarterly returns data is useful in determining the correlation between different strategies. Diversification is often touted as a primary benefit of private market strategies, but many detractors have called that into question. PE, for instance,



is often accused of being a high-priced strategy that can be replicated in public markets by adding leverage and screening for factors such as size and indebtedness. Indeed, numerous academic studies have found correlations between public equity and PE markets.<sup>2</sup>

While our guarterly PE NAV index is not investable, it does corroborate these findings; the correlation between PE NAV index and the S&P 500 TR has been 0.75 since 2001. It is even higher when rebased to 2008 (0.84), but we have seen the correlation fall to 0.51 since 2013. We attribute this to the sizable guarterly moves in the public equities in the last five years, with correlations tending to be lowest in periods of high volatility and dramatic market movements, as well as the significantly fewer number of reporting periods that results in a relatively small sample size. Correlations may be high, and this methodology does not account for differences in variables such as leverage and illiquidity, but the PE NAV index has outperformed the S&P 500 TR by a wide margin across long time horizons.

Between private market strategies, the highest correlations occur between PE and FoFs, which is intuitive given that FoFs are highly allocated to PE funds. Correlations are also high between PE and VC funds, which may be surprising given the documented differences in their risk/return profiles; however, both strategies involve equity investments and, therefore, employ mark-to-market practices that often mirror public equity returns. Many other private market strategies also have higher correlations than may be expected, but the best diversifiers are real asset and secondaries funds.

### PE and public equities tend to be highly correlated Correlation of quarterly returns





1.00

### **PitchBook**

Production         Product	<b>#</b> Pi	tchBook	Search PitchBook		Q											
Contents         Fund Returns History         Print         Control Microsoften (hypolice)         Print         Control Microsoften (hypolice)         Print         Control Microsoften (hypolice)         Print         Control Microsoften (hypolice)         Print	≡															
American information means informatinformatinformation means information means informatio		Contents	Fund Returns Hi	story												
0       Accord Montes       0.00	ρ	General Information Contact Information	Period	Contribution <sup>E</sup> (by all LPs)	Dry Di Powder <sup>£</sup>	stribution <sup>E</sup> (to all LPs)		NAV E		Total Fund <sup>E</sup> Distr+NAV	IRI	ł	DPI	RVPI	TVPI	r
<ul> <li></li></ul>	Э	Recent Notes	- 2017	2.669,17	57,29	2.418,55	:	2.671,53		5.092,68	20,04%	0,	90x	1,00x	1,91x	
		Fund Service Providers	+ 3Q17	2.572,54	458,21	3.089,00	:	2.262,00		5.350,99		1,	07x	0,79x	1,86x	
Indicate Partners (R)       1017       2.669,17       5.26       1.466,27       2.27,36       2.467,36       2.267,36	B	Fund Team (5)	+ 2Q17	2.669,14	57,66	2.421,07	:	2.671,15		5.092,97	19,84%	0,	91x	1,00x	1,91x	
<ul> <li> <ul> <li></li></ul></li></ul>	_	Limited Partners (49)	+ 1Q17	2.669,17	57,26	1.486,32	1	3.492,48		4.981,92	20,13%	0,	56x	1,31x	1,88x	
Insistence Preferences Runces and Butterm bias purposedie poperation poperation poperation index basis und datams biascy poperation index basis poperation index basis poperatind index basis poperation index basis poperation index	≡	Fund Investments (34)	- 2016	2.647,36	166,31	1.482,77	:	3.299,39		4.782,16	20,19%	0,	55x	1,25x	1,81x	
Returns Data Fund Cash Row Oy Powder       Date       Reported By/LimitedFarmer       Separted By/LimitedFarmer       Separted By/Limi		Investment Preferences	- 4Q16	2.647,36	136,64	1.485,90	3	3.298,98		4.784,88	19,94%	0,	56x	1,25x	1,81x	
Bit Pop Powder         Point Returns History         Bit Olec 2016         New York City Fire Department Pension Fund         20,0%         0.5%         1.21x         1.7%         28,46         0.02         1.60         3.3.3         80.18         118,65           Bit Dec 2016         New York City File Department Pystem of the City of L         20,0%         0.5%         1.21x         1.7%         64,64         66,07         0.33         3.3.3         80.18         118,65           Bit Dec 2016         New York City File Department Pystem of the City of L         20,0%         0.5%         1.24x         1.7%         64,64         66,27         0.33         3.3.3         80.18         118,65           Bit Dec 2016         New York City File Department Pystem of the City of L         0.04%         0.5%         1.24x         1.842         94,86         91,28         0.5%         1.24x         1.842         94,86         91,28         0.5%         1.24x         1.843         94,86         1.32         2.5%         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783         3.783	ප	Returns Data Fund Cash Flow	Date	Reported by/LimitedPartne	r	IRR	DPI	RVPI	TVPI	Individual LP Committed	Individual LP Contributed	Dry Powder	Individual LP Distributed	Individual LP NAV	Individual LP Distr+NAV	Ga
Pind Returns Nitrory       Bindback of the New York (1y Police Periado Find)       2006       0.000       0.00		Dry Powder	31-Dec-2016	New York City Fire	Department Pension Fund	20.60%	0.58×	1 21v	1 70v	28.46	28 //	0.02	16.60	34 36	50.96	
Benchmark         Benchmark         Banchmark         Banchmark <t< td=""><td></td><td>Fund Returns History</td><td>31-Dec-2016</td><td>New York City Pol</td><td>ice Pension Fund</td><td>20,00%</td><td>0.58x</td><td>1.21x</td><td>1,79x</td><td>66.40</td><td>66.07</td><td>0,02</td><td>38.39</td><td>80.18</td><td>118 56</td><td></td></t<>		Fund Returns History	31-Dec-2016	New York City Pol	ice Pension Fund	20,00%	0.58x	1.21x	1,79x	66.40	66.07	0,02	38.39	80.18	118 56	
31-Dec:2016       New York City Employees? Retirement System       20,0%       0,5%       1,1%       1,7k       96,28       0,00       57,10       114,54       171,64         31-Dec:2016       Houston Municipal Employees? Retirement and Persion System       20,0%       0,5%       1,2k       1,8k       14,74       0,50       0,50       0,51       2,4%       3,2k       4,4k       4,00       6,47       2,07       4,8k       11,4k       11,4k <td< td=""><td>je j</td><td>Benchmark</td><td>31-Dec-2016</td><td>Teachers' Retirem</td><td>ent System of the City of</td><td>20,57%</td><td>0.57x</td><td>1.26x</td><td>1.82x</td><td>94.86</td><td>91.14</td><td>3,72</td><td>51,66</td><td>114.54</td><td>166.20</td><td></td></td<>	je j	Benchmark	31-Dec-2016	Teachers' Retirem	ent System of the City of	20,57%	0.57x	1.26x	1.82x	94.86	91.14	3,72	51,66	114.54	166.20	
31-Dec-2016       Houston Municipal Employees? Persion Syst.       20,194       0,54       1,807       18,97       0,50       0,32       22,96       33,28         31-Dec-2016       Maryland State Retirement and Pension Syst.       20,00       0,51       1,40       1,91       47,43       46,07       6,67       20,33       57,40       78,13         31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles County Employees? Retirement       19,92       0,55       1,26       18,88       44,60       6,67       4,67       41,03       14,78       166,40         31-Dec-2016       Teachers Retirement System       19,92       0,55       1,26       18,88       44,68       61,91       12,94       41,51       14,78       166,40         31-Dec-2016       Teachers Retirement System       19,92       0,55       1,30       1,31       4,10       7,77       17,21       24,97       164,81         31-Dec-2016       Masschustet Penjoyees Retirement System       19,90       0,56       1,31       1,31       4,40       9,211       2,75       51,70       11,47       164,81         31-Dec-2016       Masschustet Penjoyees Retirement System       19,90       0,56       1,31       1,31       1,4       19,76       25,89       12,04			31-Dec-2016	New York City Em	ployees' Retirement System	20,40%	0,59x	1,19x	1,78x	94,86	96,28	0,00	57,10	114,54	171,64	
31-0c-2016       Maryland State Retirement and Pension System       19,958       0,51       1,40x       1,91x       4,474       40,90       6,47       20,73       57,40       78,10         31-0cc-2016       Indiana Public Retirement System       19,958       0,52       1,28x       1,40x       191x       44,43       46,07       6,47       30,07       57,40       78,10         31-0cc-2016       Cascheles County Employees' Retirement System       19,928       0,51x       1,40x       191x       44,64       191.0       77,57       172.19       249,77         31-0cc-2016       Cascheles County Employees' Retirement System       19,928       0,51x       1,40x       191x       32,02       2,84,20       4,818       14,48       14,18       14,28         31-0cc-2016       Carcenon Public Employees Retirement System       19,928       0,51x       1,40x       1,31x       1,428       14,48       14			31-Dec-2016	Houston Municipa	al Employees' Pension Syst	20,19%	0,56x	1,24x	1,80x	18,97	18,47	0,50	10,32	22,96	33,28	
31-Dec-2016       Indiana Public Retirement System       19,95%       0,5%       1,8%       47,43       46,07       6,47       30,07       57,40       87,40         31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles County Employees Retirement.       19,28       0,5%       1,2%       1,8%       94,66       98,07       5,79       46,66       114,78       163,44         31-Dec-2016       Teachers Retirement System of the State of Log Angeles County Employees Retirement System       19,28       0,5%       1,2%       1,8%       94,66       91,01       1,01       71,79       24,87         31-Dec-2016       Louisana State Employees Retirement System       19,28       0,5%       1,2%       1,8%       94,66       92,11       2,75       51,70       114,78       166,48         31-Dec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment Board       19,90       0,5%       1,2%       1,8%       94,86       92,11       2,75       51,70       114,78       166,48         31-Dec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment       9,90       0,5%       1,2%       1,8%       9,49       9,18       2,168       2,252,2       3,43,98         31-Dec-2016       New Englein Courty Employees' Retirement System       1,9%       0,5%       1,2%       1,8%       1,9,1       9			31-Dec-2016	Maryland State Re	tirement and Pension Sys	20,00%	0,51x	1,40x	1,91x	47,43	40,96	6,47	20,73	57,40	78,13	
91-0ec-2016       Los Angeles County Employees' Retirement, System of the State of L.       19.2%       0.5%       1.2%       1.8%       94.86       89.07       5.79       48,66       114.78       163.44         31-0ec-2016       Teachers Retirement System of the State of L.       19.2%       0.5%       1.2%       1.81%       94.86       89.07       12.94       41.51       114.79       156.30         31-0ec-2016       Massachusetts Pension Reserves Investment.       19.2%       0.5%       1.2%       1.81%       142.29       18.19       1.448       0.148       0.148       14.18       14.18       14.18       16.040         31-0ec-2016       Colusians State Employees Retirement System       19.90%       0.56       1.2%       1.81%       94.86       92.11       2.75       51.70       114.78       166.48         31-0ec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment Dangeves' Retirement System       19.90%       0.56       1.2%       1.81%       94.86       92.11       2.75       51.70       114.78       166.48         31-0ec-2016       New Legad Correntement System       19.90%       0.56       1.2%       1.8%       94.86       92.11       2.75       1.40%       2.45%       1.40%       1.41%       1.41%       1.547 <td></td> <td></td> <td>31-Dec-2016</td> <td>Indiana Public Ret</td> <td>irement System</td> <td>19,95%</td> <td>0,52x</td> <td>1,25x</td> <td>1,89x</td> <td>47,43</td> <td>46,07</td> <td>6,47</td> <td>30,07</td> <td>57,40</td> <td>87,47</td> <td></td>			31-Dec-2016	Indiana Public Ret	irement System	19,95%	0,52x	1,25x	1,89x	47,43	46,07	6,47	30,07	57,40	87,47	
31-Dec-2016       Teachers Actirement System of the State of       19.92%       0.51x       1.40x       1.91x       94,86       81,91       12.94       41,51       114.79       156,30         31-Dec-2016       Massachusetts Pension Reserves Investment.       19.92%       0.56x       1.52x       1.81x       142.29       138,19       4,10       77.57       172.19       249.77         31-Dec-2016       Cousiana State Employees Retirement System       19.92%       0.51x       1.40x       1.91x       9.486       92.11       2.75       51.70       114.78       166.48         31-Dec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment Board       19.90%       0.56x       1.25x       1.81x       9.468       92.11       2.75       51.70       114.78       166.48         31-Dec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment Eoard       19.90%       0.51x       1.40x       1.89x       9.498       8.18       1.29       4.13       114.78       166.48         31-Dec-2016       New Ingland Carpenters Guaranteed Anulu.       1.97x       0.61x       1.13x       1.89x2       1.89x2       1.92.49       2.589       2.54.92       2.23.52       343.98         31-Dec-2016       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       0.61x       1.74x			31-Dec-2016	Los Angeles Coun	ty Employees' Retirement	19,92%	0,55x	1,29x	1,83x	94,86	89,07	5,79	48,66	114,78	163,44	
31-Dec-2016       Massachusetts Pension Reserves Investmen       19.92%       0.5%       1.25%       1.81%       142.29       13.81,9       4.10       77,57       172.19       249.77         31-Dec-2016       Louisiana State Employees Retirement System       19.92%       0.5%       1.25%       1.81%       94.86       92.11       2.75       51.70       114.78       166.48         31-Dec-2016       Batimore County Employees' Retirement System       19.90%       0.56%       1.25%       1.81%       94.86       92.11       2.75       51.70       114.78       166.48         31-Dec-2016       Batimore County Employees' Retirement System       19.90%       0.56%       1.25%       1.81%       94.86       92.11       2.75       51.70       114.78       166.48         31-Dec-2016       Batimore County Employees' Retirement System       19.06%       1.81%       1.81%       148.92       19.89       2.519       120.46       223.52       343.98         31-Dec-2016       New Jersey Division of Investment       0.61%       1.31%       1.74%       189.72       17.64       9.71       2.589       120.46       223.52       343.98         31-Dec-2016       New Jerse Buard Garpenters Guaranteed Annulu       1.51%       1.562       1.528,9 <td></td> <td></td> <td>31-Dec-2016</td> <td>Teachers Retirem</td> <td>ent System of the State of</td> <td>19,92%</td> <td>0,51x</td> <td>1,40x</td> <td>1,91x</td> <td>94,86</td> <td>81,91</td> <td>12,94</td> <td>41,51</td> <td>114,79</td> <td>156,30</td> <td></td>			31-Dec-2016	Teachers Retirem	ent System of the State of	19,92%	0,51x	1,40x	1,91x	94,86	81,91	12,94	41,51	114,79	156,30	
B1-Dec-2016       Louisiana State Employees Retirement System       19,92%       0,51x       1,91x       1,91x       33,20       28,62       4,58       14,48       40,18       54,66         B1-Dec-2016       Oregon Public Employees Retirement System       19,90%       0,55x       1,81x       94,86       92,11       2,75       51,70       114,78       166,48         B1-Dec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment Board       19,90%       0,55x       1,81x       94,86       92,11       2,75       9,13       114,78       166,48         B1-Dec-2016       Baltimore County Employees Retirement System       17,92       1,81x       94,86       92,11       2,75       9,13       11,34       11,34       164,48       40,18       40,			31-Dec-2016	Massachusetts Pe	nsion Reserves Investmen	19,92%	0,56x	1,25x	1,81x	142,29	138,19	4,10	77,57	172,19	249,77	
31-Dec-2016       Oregon Public Employees Retirement System       19,90%       0,56       1,25x       1,81x       94,86       92,11       2,75       51,70       114,78       166,48         31-Dec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment Board       19,0%       0,56x       1,25x       1,81x       94,86       92,11       2,75       51,70       114,78       166,48         31-Dec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment       0,77       1,97       1			31-Dec-2016	Louisiana State Er	nployees Retirement Syst	19,92%	0,51x	1,40x	1,91x	33,20	28,62	4,58	14,48	40,18	54,66	
31-Dec-2016       State of Wisconsin Investment Board       19,90%       0,56       1,25       1,81x       94,86       92,11       2,75       51,70       114,78       166,48         31-Dec-2016       Baltimore County Employees' Retirement Sy.       19,22%       1,39x       1,39x       1,99x       9,49       8,18       1,29       4,13       11,34       15,47         31-Dec-2016       Visiting Nurse Service of New York Care Pen.       1       1,13x       1,14x       1,14x       223,52       343,98         31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles Department of Water and Pen.       1       1,13x       1,13x       1,13x       1,74x       1,81x       9,44       9,71       2,44       223,52       343,98         31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles Department of Water and Pen.       1       1,13x       1,74x       1,81x       9,44       9,71       2,44       223,52       343,98         31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles Department of Water and Carenteres Guaranteed Annul.       1       1,92x       1,13x       1,74x       1,74x <td></td> <td></td> <td>31-Dec-2016</td> <td>Oregon Public Em</td> <td>ployees Retirement System</td> <td>19,90%</td> <td>0,56x</td> <td>1,25x</td> <td>1,81x</td> <td>94,86</td> <td>92,11</td> <td>2,75</td> <td>51,70</td> <td>114,78</td> <td>166,48</td> <td></td>			31-Dec-2016	Oregon Public Em	ployees Retirement System	19,90%	0,56x	1,25x	1,81x	94,86	92,11	2,75	51,70	114,78	166,48	
A1-Dec-2016       Baltimore County Employees' Retirement Sym       19,72%       0,51x       1,89x       9,49       8,18       1,29       4,13       11,34       15,47         B1-Dec-2016       Visiting Nurse Service of New York Care Penm       10       0,51x       1,13x       1,74x       189,72       197,63       25,89       120,66       225,52       343,98         B1-Dec-2016       New Jersey Division of Investment       0       1,13x       1,74x       189,72       197,63       25,89       120,66       225,52       343,98         B1-Dec-2016       New Jersey Division of Investment       0       0       1,13x       1,74x       189,72       197,63       25,89       120,66       225,52       343,98         B1-Dec-2016       New England Carpenters Guaranteed Annulu.       0       1,13x       1,74x       1,81       9,71			31-Dec-2016	State of Wisconsir	n Investment Board	19,90%	0,56x	1,25x	1,81x	94,86	92,11	2,75	51,70	114,78	166,48	
31-Dec-2016       Visiting Nurse Service of New York Care Pen       0,61x       1,13x       1,74x       189,72       197,63       25,89       120,66       223,52       343,98         31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles Department of Water and Powe       0,61x       1,13x       1,74x       189,72       197,63       25,89       120,66       223,52       343,98         31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles Department of Water and Powe       0,61x       1,13x       1,74x       61,44       9,71       22,74       28,01         31-Dec-2016       New England Carpenters Guaranteed Annul       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       71,14       61,44       9,71       24,74       1,78x       1,78x         31-Dec-2016       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       1,299,21       3,465,75       4,703,50       20,70%       0,47x       1,13x       1,78x         * 2 optic       2,647,36       165,26       1,239,21       3,465,75       4,703,50       20,70%       0,47x       1,31x       1,78x         * 2 optic       2,647,36       165,26       1,239,28       3,459,80       4,692,73       21,92%       0,44x       1,32x       1,80x         * 1 optic       2,645,71       15,54       1,192,13       3,41,5       4,621			31-Dec-2016	Baltimore County	Employees' Retirement Sy	19,72%	0,51x	1,39x	1,89x	9,49	8,18	1,29	4,13	11,34	15,47	
31-Dec-2016       New Jersey Division of Investment       0,61x       1,13x       1,74x       189,72       197,63       25,89       120,46       223,52       343,98         31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles Department of Water and Powe       23,71       25,89       120,46       223,52       28,01         31-Dec-2016       New England Carpenters Guaranteed Annul       71,14       61,44       9,71       2,74       2,74         31-Dec-2016       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       71,14       61,44       9,71       2,52       2,74       2,52         31-Dec-2016       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       71,14       61,44       9,71       2,52       2,74       2,52         31-Dec-2016       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       71,14       61,44       9,71       2,52       2,74       2,52       2,74       2,52       2,74       2,52       2,74       2,52       2,52       2,52       2,52       2,52       2,52       2,52       2,52       2,52       1,52       2,52,52       2,52,52       2,52,52       2,52,52       2,52,52       2,52,52       2,52,52       2,52,52       1,54       1,52,13       3,43,05       4,62,151       2,52,55       0,453       1,54       1,55			31-Dec-2016	Visiting Nurse Ser	vice of New York Care Pen									1,05		
31-Dec-2016       Los Angeles Department of Water and Powe       23,71       23,71       28,01         31-Dec-2016       New England Carpenters Guaranteed Annui       71,14       61,44       9,71       2,74         31-Dec-2016       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       71,14       61,44       9,71       2,74         2       Secondary Commitment       2       Secondary Commitment       2       Secondary Commitment       2         *       316       2.647,36       165,26       1,239,21       3.465,75       4.703,50       20,70%       0,47x       1,31x       1,78x         *       3016       2.628,21       243,02       1,232,89       3.459,80       4.692,73       21,92%       0,44x       1,32x       1,80x         *       1016       2.623,57       115,54       1,192,13       3.431,05       4.621,61       22,91%       0,45x       1,31x       1,76x         *       2015       2.453,71       29,63       1,004,40       3.294,89       4.269,12       23,02%       0,39x       1,34x       1,75x			31-Dec-2016	New Jersey Divisio	on of Investment		0,61x	1,13x	1,74x	189,72	197,63	25,89	120,46	223,52	343,98	
31-Dec-2016       New England Carpenters Guaranteed Annui       71,14       61,44       9,71         31-Dec-2016       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       71,14       61,44       9,71         2 secondary Commitment         9 aq16       2.647,36       165,26       1.239,21       3.465,75       4.703,50       20,70%       0.47x       1,31x       1,78x         1 q016       2.628,21       243,02       1.232,89       3.459,80       4.692,73       21,92%       0.44x       1,32x       1,80x         1 q1213       3.431,05       4.621,61       22,91%       0.45x       1,31x       1,76x         1 q015       2.453,71       294,63       1.004,40       3.294,89       4.269,12       23,02%       0,39x       1,34x       1,75x			31-Dec-2016	Los Angeles Depa	rtment of Water and Powe					23,71				28,01		
31-Dec-2016       New York State Teachers' Retirement System       71,14       61,44       9,71         *       Secondary Commitment       *			31-Dec-2016	New England Carp	enters Guaranteed Annui									2,74		
* 3Q16       2.647,36       165,26       1.239,21       3.465,75       4.703,50       20,70%       0,47x       1,31x       1,78x         * 3Q16       2.628,21       243,02       1.232,89       3.459,80       4.692,73       21,92%       0,44x       1,32x       1,80x         * 1Q16       2.623,57       115,54       1.192,13       3.431,05       4.621,61       22,91%       0,45x       1,31x       1,76x         * 2015       2.453,71       294,63       1.004,40       3.294,89       4.269,12       23,02%       0,39x       1,34x       1,75x			31-Dec-2016	New York State Te	achers' Retirement System					71,14	61,44	9,71				
+ 3Q16       2.647,36       165,26       1.239,21       3.465,75       4.703,50       20,70%       0,47x       1,31x       1,78x         + 2Q16       2.628,21       243,02       1.232,89       3.459,80       4.692,73       21,92%       0,44x       1,32x       1,80x         + 1Q16       2.623,57       115,54       1.192,13       3.431,05       4.621,61       22,91%       0,45x       1,31x       1,76x         + 2015       2.453,71       294,63       1.004,40       3.294,89       4.269,12       23,02%       0,39x       1,34x       1,75x			<sup>2</sup> Secondary Commitn <sup>P</sup> Partial Commitment	nent												
+ 2Q16       2.628,21       243,02       1.232,89       3.459,80       4.692,73       21,92%       0,44x       1,32x       1,80x         + 1Q16       2.623,57       115,54       1.192,13       3.431,05       4.621,61       22,91%       0,45x       1,31x       1,76x         + 2015       2.453,71       294,63       1.004,40       3.294,89       4.269,12       23,02%       0,39x       1,34x       1,75x			+ 3Q16	2.647,36	165,26	1.239,21	3	8.465,75		4.703,50	20,70%	0,	47x	1,31x	1,78x	
+ 1Q16       2.623,57       115,54       1.192,13       3.431,05       4.621,61       22,91%       0,45x       1,31x       1,76x         + 2015       2.453,71       294,63       1.004,40       3.294,89       4.269,12       23,02%       0,39x       1,34x       1,75x			+ 2Q16	2.628,21	243,02	1.232,89	3	8.459,80		4.692,73	21,92%	0,	44x	1,32x	1,80x	
+ 2015 2.453,71 294,63 1.004,40 3.294,89 4.269,12 23,02% 0,39x 1,34x 1,75x			+ 1Q16	2.623,57	115,54	1.192,13	3	3.431,05		4.621,61	22,91%	0,	45x	1,31x	1,76x	
			+ 2015	2.453,71	294,63	1.004,40	3	8.294,89		4.269,12	23,02%	0,	39x	1,34x	1,75x	

## PitchBook clients get greater insight into underlying fund data

Get aggregate fund-level performance at a glance. Get access to individual LP reports and the underlying fund performance data that is used to construct our benchmarks.

Get an even more transparent and comprehensive look into benchmarks with the PitchBook Platform.

Request a free trial

# Private capital



### PRIVATE CAPITAL

### **Horizon IRRs**

Strategy	1-year	3-year	5-year	10-year	15-year	18-year
Private capital	15.70%	12.10%	13.20%	9.03%	11.58%	9.90%
Private equity	18.93%	14.73%	15.32%	10.17%	13.90%	11.53%
Venture capital	13.06%	7.85%	13.91%	8.53%	8.57%	5.33%
Real assets	11.82%	9.99%	9.97%	6.28%	7.39%	7.36%
Debt	8.88%	5.64%	8.06%	8.53%	9.37%	9.32%
Fund-of-funds	14.20%	11.18%	12.51%	7.37%	9.30%	7.92%
Secondaries	15.71%	11.36%	12.01%	9.87%	11.73%	11.38%
S&P 500	15.67%	11.27%	13.40%	9.42%	9.58%	5.61%
Russell 2000 Growth	15.31%	10.71%	13.14%	9.46%	9.87%	5.97%
Russell 3000	17.36%	8.60%	12.73%	10.30%	11.07%	5.68%
Morningstar US Real Assets	2.94%	0.54%	0.85%	2.75%	6.29%	7.31%
Bloomberg Barclays US Corporate High Yield	3.05%	5.09%	4.97%	7.95%	8.02%	7.41%

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

### PRIVATE CAPITAL

### **Equal-weighted horizon IRRs**

Strategy	1-year	3-year	5-year	10-year	15-year	18-year
Private capital	13.94%	10.94%	12.29%	8.74%	10.44%	8.16%
Private equity	17.55%	13.50%	13.31%	9.80%	13.36%	10.40%
Venture capital	12.60%	7.27%	12.64%	7.90%	7.44%	4.12%
Real assets	10.63%	10.74%	10.34%	6.75%	8.23%	8.20%
Debt	10.58%	5.39%	8.98%	8.18%	9.59%	9.58%
Fund-of-funds	14.47%	11.52%	12.90%	9.43%	10.17%	8.90%
Secondaries	13.24%	10.02%	11.08%	9.09%	11.43%	10.66%
S&P 500	15.67%	11.27%	13.40%	9.42%	9.58%	5.61%
Russell 2000 Growth	15.31%	10.71%	13.14%	9.46%	9.87%	5.97%
Russell 3000	17.36%	8.60%	12.73%	10.30%	11.07%	5.68%
Morningstar US Real Assets	2.94%	0.54%	0.85%	2.75%	6.29%	7.31%
Bloomberg Barclays US Corporate High Yield	3.05%	5.09%	4.97%	7.95%	8.02%	7.41%

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

Data as of March 31, 2018

# Private equity



### **IRRs by vintage**

### POOLED IRRS

#### IRR HURDLE RATES

Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-2001	11.15%	9.28%	185	23.05%	15.56%	9.61%	2.53%	-5.99%	12.86%	183
2001	23.87%	19.57%	31	38.36%	26.88%	16.78%	11.06%	8.17%	19.37%	31
2002	18.73%	16.35%	35	34.48%	26.70%	16.88%	7.70%	2.97%	17.42%	35
2003	23.35%	16.39%	22	37.84%	24.90%	13.96%	8.76%	-2.21%	27.33%	22
2004	12.71%	11.20%	51	28.08%	16.66%	10.25%	4.12%	-6.95%	18.52%	50
2005	9.90%	10.00%	77	19.98%	13.07%	8.46%	3.77%	0.10%	10.37%	75
2006	7.65%	7.23%	108	14.85%	11.40%	8.00%	4.03%	-2.49%	9.62%	104
2007	9.76%	9.71%	110	19.55%	14.65%	9.80%	4.93%	-0.88%	9.62%	106
2008	12.52%	10.35%	112	22.51%	16.21%	11.39%	5.71%	-1.29%	10.26%	108
2009	14.02%	14.25%	49	26.26%	21.28%	11.83%	7.25%	1.34%	16.23%	47
2010	12.43%	11.50%	65	25.10%	14.72%	10.44%	6.16%	-0.19%	12.46%	56
2011	15.59%	14.15%	76	28.78%	19.65%	13.39%	9.93%	2.76%	20.00%	68
2012	16.80%	14.80%	110	27.33%	21.10%	14.76%	8.30%	1.84%	15.62%	103
2013	16.79%	14.83%	93	30.10%	19.99%	14.87%	8.75%	4.20%	11.30%	81
2014	17.60%	17.99%	94	35.40%	22.12%	14.45%	8.54%	-2.40%	16.40%	85
2015	19.99%	15.10%	130	30.08%	21.59%	12.57%	5.03%	-4.75%	17.59%	106
2016	17.87%	19.89%	102	29.00%	14.75%	7.98%	-1.96%	-13.66%	28.01%	81

## Multiples by vintage

### **POOLED MULTIPLES**

#### EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED MULTIPLES

Vintage year	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.63x	1.62x	0.01x	1.52x	1.50x	0.02x	185
2001	2.16x	2.13x	0.03x	1.98x	1.97x	0.02x	31
2002	1.86x	1.82x	0.04x	1.76x	1.71x	0.05x	35
2003	2.06x	1.95x	0.11x	1.83x	1.76x	0.07x	22
2004	1.73x	1.64x	0.09x	1.62x	1.51x	0.11x	51
2005	1.61x	1.50x	0.11x	1.61x	1.47x	0.14x	77
2006	1.49x	1.29x	0.20x	1.44x	1.20x	0.23x	108
2007	1.56x	1.25x	0.30x	1.54x	1.22x	0.32x	110
2008	1.61x	1.23x	0.38x	1.51x	1.13x	0.38x	112
2009	1.66x	1.26x	0.40x	1.67x	1.24x	0.43x	49
2010	1.48x	0.88x	0.60x	1.47x	0.84x	0.63x	65
2011	1.61x	0.73x	0.87x	1.55x	0.68x	0.88x	76
2012	1.51x	0.57x	0.94x	1.46x	0.53x	0.93x	110
2013	1.38x	0.38x	1.00x	1.37x	0.36x	1.01x	93
2014	1.33x	0.27x	1.06x	1.34x	0.31x	1.03x	94
2015	1.26x	0.15x	1.10x	1.22x	0.15x	1.06x	130
2016	1.13x	0.12x	1.02x	1.17x	0.14x	1.03x	102

### Multiples by vintage

			τνρι					DPI			
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-2001	2.26x	1.93x	1.51x	1.14x	0.69x	2.26x	1.91x	1.50x	1.11x	0.67x	185
2001	2.93x	2.53x	1.88x	1.56x	1.30x	2.90x	2.50x	1.88x	1.53x	1.23x	31
2002	2.66x	2.16x	1.70x	1.33x	1.21x	2.44x	2.14x	1.62x	1.30x	1.15x	35
2003	2.97x	1.94x	1.70x	1.49x	0.86x	2.84x	1.94x	1.67x	1.49x	0.80x	22
2004	2.54x	1.99x	1.60x	1.24x	0.74x	2.26x	1.93x	1.51x	1.05x	0.68x	51
2005	2.36x	1.89x	1.52x	1.19x	1.00x	2.26x	1.73x	1.37x	1.09x	0.75x	77
2006	1.99x	1.72x	1.44x	1.19x	0.72x	1.74x	1.54x	1.30x	0.99x	0.49x	108
2007	2.18x	1.85x	1.51x	1.21x	0.94x	1.95x	1.60x	1.20x	0.87x	0.59x	110
2008	2.04x	1.83x	1.52x	1.18x	0.94x	1.71x	1.48x	1.13x	0.76x	0.51x	112
2009	2.48x	2.09x	1.54x	1.29x	0.96x	2.04x	1.58x	1.18x	0.88x	0.59x	49
2010	2.06x	1.73x	1.45x	1.24x	0.90x	1.39x	1.16x	0.79x	0.54x	0.30x	65
2011	2.17x	1.75x	1.46x	1.24x	1.02x	1.30x	0.98x	0.57x	0.32x	0.17x	76
2012	1.83x	1.69x	1.42x	1.21x	0.97x	1.02x	0.78x	0.49x	0.25x	0.11x	110
2013	1.83x	1.50x	1.32x	1.19x	1.07x	0.82x	0.50x	0.28x	0.09x	0.00x	93
2014	1.78x	1.44x	1.26x	1.12x	0.95x	0.70x	0.37x	0.19x	0.04x	0.00x	94
2015	1.49x	1.33x	1.18x	1.05x	0.92x	0.34x	0.20x	0.07x	0.00x	0.00x	130
2016	1.40x	1.20x	1.06x	0.98x	0.88x	0.33x	0.11x	0.01x	0.00x	0.00x	102

### DPI

4

### PMEs by vintage

### S&P 500 INDEX

**RUSSELL 3000 INDEX** 

Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2001	23.87%	6.69%	1.68	23.87%	7.05%	1.64	31
2002	18.73%	7.82%	1.43	18.73%	8.15%	1.40	35
2003	23.35%	10.24%	1.59	23.35%	10.56%	1.57	22
2004	12.71%	8.72%	1.36	12.71%	8.89%	1.34	51
2005	9.90%	8.84%	1.20	9.90%	8.99%	1.19	77
2006	7.65%	8.77%	1.01	7.65%	8.76%	1.00	108
2007	9.76%	8.39%	0.97	9.76%	8.39%	0.96	110
2008	12.52%	9.64%	0.97	12.52%	9.74%	0.97	112
2009	14.02%	16.95%	0.97	14.02%	17.10%	0.97	49
2010	12.43%	14.11%	0.94	12.43%	14.05%	0.95	65
2011	15.59%	13.54%	1.03	15.59%	13.25%	1.04	76
2012	16.80%	14.89%	1.06	16.80%	14.67%	1.07	110
2013	16.79%	14.91%	1.06	16.79%	14.59%	1.06	93
2014	17.60%	12.79%	1.05	17.60%	12.16%	1.06	94
2015	19.99%	12.13%	1.03	19.99%	11.66%	1.03	130
2016	17.87%	20.82%	0.98	17.87%	21.18%	0.98	102

### **Quarterly return**

Quarter	1-quarter benchmark return	Quarter	1-quarter benchmark return	Quarter 1-quarter benchmark return		Quarter	1-quarter benchmark return
end	(%)	end	(%)	end	(%)	end	(%)
1Q 2005	2.55%	3Q 2008	-7.73%	1Q 2012	5.84%	3Q 2015	0.43%
2Q 2005	8.38%	4Q 2008	-10.99%	2Q 2012	0.73%	4Q 2015	2.59%
3Q 2005	7.08%	1Q 2009	-7.20%	3Q 2012	3.67%	1Q 2016	2.00%
4Q 2005	9.64%	2Q 2009	3.22%	4Q 2012	3.30%	2Q 2016	4.19%
1Q 2006	4.27%	3Q 2009	3.65%	1Q 2013	3.13%	3Q 2016	4.42%
2Q 2006	5.47%	4Q 2009	6.88%	2Q 2013	3.06%	4Q 2016	1.59%
3Q 2006	4.27%	1Q 2010	3.04%	3Q 2013	4.67%	1Q 2017	4.63%
4Q 2006	12.43%	2Q 2010	1.68%	4Q 2013	5.71%	2Q 2017	5.24%
1Q 2007	6.08%	3Q 2010	4.57%	1Q 2014	4.55%	3Q 2017	4.33%
2Q 2007	8.52%	4Q 2010	7.72%	2Q 2014	4.90%	4Q 2017	4.54%
3Q 2007	4.47%	1Q 2011	5.03%	3Q 2014	0.22%	1Q 2018	3.57%
4Q 2007	4.18%	2Q 2011	4.71%	4Q 2014	3.62%		Source: PitchBook. Data as of March 31, 2018
1Q 2008	-0.67%	3Q 2011	-2.80%	1Q 2015	3.41%		
2Q 2008	-1.70%	4Q 2011	1.32%	2Q 2015	4.93%		

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

# Venture capital



### **IRRs by vintage**

#### POOLED IRRS

#### **IRR HURDLE RATES**

Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.75%	6.20%	143	21.11%	7.00%	0.00%	-8.38%	-15.14%	31.25%	141
2001	6.13%	3.54%	36	11.66%	5.80%	2.43%	-3.78%	-15.10%	11.35%	36
2002	3.22%	2.87%	17	10.95%	9.12%	3.50%	-6.78%	-11.21%	10.18%	16
2003	6.55%	2.47%	18	11.25%	7.20%	4.40%	-1.19%	-19.67%	16.61%	18
2004	0.89%	0.16%	21	6.65%	5.02%	1.52%	-9.53%	-14.45%	9.77%	20
2005	9.20%	10.50%	36	16.03%	11.55%	3.86%	-0.03%	-3.54%	13.68%	34
2006	4.54%	2.83%	38	11.54%	7.78%	1.80%	-7.16%	-13.52%	12.69%	38
2007	14.39%	12.36%	47	29.12%	17.01%	9.41%	-0.78%	-10.15%	16.45%	46
2008	10.31%	9.77%	55	25.90%	17.00%	7.98%	0.26%	-15.44%	18.42%	51
2009	10.25%	8.97%	21	19.91%	14.08%	8.75%	4.48%	-3.90%	9.86%	19
2010	18.32%	18.27%	25	42.15%	27.20%	12.28%	3.93%	-1.37%	20.31%	24
2011	15.68%	15.49%	20	26.22%	21.42%	15.88%	5.88%	-2.51%	12.21%	20
2012	18.39%	17.49%	19	32.45%	21.24%	16.99%	11.59%	5.58%	13.54%	16
2013	23.88%	17.59%	23	36.37%	19.16%	15.67%	9.97%	1.15%	17.73%	19
2014	17.01%	16.83%	37	32.48%	16.30%	11.61%	5.97%	3.73%	73.26%	34
2015	15.59%	17.91%	38	24.70%	16.58%	10.40%	0.30%	-8.76%	18.46%	33
2016	15.49%	24.69%	41	30.83%	22.57%	0.68%	-10.22%	-20.07%	23.84%	28

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

4

### Multiples by vintage

### POOLED MULTIPLES

### EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED MULTIPLES

Vintage year	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.10x	1.02x	0.08x	1.24x	1.18x	0.05x	143
2001	1.43x	1.33x	0.10x	1.25x	1.14x	0.11x	36
2002	1.19x	1.15x	0.05x	1.18×	1.08x	0.10x	17
2003	1.47x	1.27x	0.20x	1.16x	1.00x	0.16x	18
2004	1.07x	0.79x	0.28x	1.01x	0.68x	0.33x	21
2005	1.68x	1.29x	0.39x	1.83x	1.36x	0.47x	36
2006	1.31x	0.84x	0.46x	1.19x	0.72x	0.47x	38
2007	2.00x	1.36x	0.64x	1.89x	1.21x	0.68x	47
2008	1.56x	0.95x	0.61x	1.58x	0.86x	0.73x	55
2009	1.64x	0.75x	0.89x	1.55x	0.72x	0.84x	21
2010	2.00x	0.98x	1.02x	2.03x	1.08x	0.95x	25
2011	1.75x	0.46x	1.29x	1.74x	0.45x	1.30x	20
2012	1.83x	0.38x	1.45x	1.75x	0.34x	1.42x	19
2013	1.65x	0.31x	1.34x	1.47x	0.22x	1.25x	23
2014	1.36x	0.16x	1.21x	1.32x	0.18x	1.14x	37
2015	1.23x	0.06x	1.17x	1.26x	0.12x	1.14x	38
2016	1.11x	0.02x	1.09x	1.21x	0.04x	1.17x	41

### Multiples by vintage

			TVPI					DPI			
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.82x	1.39x	1.01x	0.63x	0.29x	1.79x	1.33x	0.92x	0.54x	0.24x	143
2001	2.00x	1.52x	1.21x	0.77x	0.29x	1.99x	1.37x	1.03x	0.66x	0.26x	36
2002	1.78x	1.74x	1.18x	0.68x	0.49x	1.78x	1.61x	1.09x	0.57x	0.33x	17
2003	1.68x	1.45x	1.22x	0.90x	0.38x	1.46x	1.37x	1.03x	0.71x	0.38x	18
2004	1.68x	1.46x	1.08x	0.60x	0.38x	1.46x	1.00x	0.63x	0.29x	0.09x	21
2005	2.36x	1.73x	1.29x	0.99x	0.74x	2.04x	1.52x	0.94x	0.52x	0.21x	36
2006	2.12x	1.61x	1.13x	0.63x	0.41x	1.34x	1.08x	0.53x	0.28x	0.10x	38
2007	2.90x	2.40x	1.71x	1.00x	0.48x	2.17x	1.62x	1.10x	0.43x	0.12x	47
2008	2.83x	1.95x	1.51x	0.97x	0.39x	1.72x	1.25x	0.65x	0.30x	0.09x	55
2009	2.42x	1.81x	1.52x	1.12x	0.86x	1.26x	1.06x	0.54x	0.22x	0.12x	21
2010	3.63x	2.53x	1.69x	1.15x	0.78x	2.19x	1.50x	0.72x	0.41x	0.21x	25
2011	2.60x	2.02x	1.70x	1.28x	0.99x	0.95x	0.68x	0.44x	0.10x	0.03x	20
2012	2.78x	1.86x	1.64x	1.20x	0.97x	0.79x	0.48x	0.16x	0.02x	0.00x	19
2013	2.13x	1.60x	1.40x	1.29x	1.07x	0.49x	0.34x	0.13x	0.01x	0.00x	23
2014	1.69x	1.41x	1.25x	1.10x	1.04x	0.32x	0.15x	0.03x	0.00x	0.00x	37
2015	1.46x	1.28x	1.15x	1.03x	0.91x	0.30x	0.13x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	38
2016	1.36x	1.25x	1.00x	0.93x	0.90x	0.07x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	0.00x	41

### DPI

### PMEs by vintage

### S&P 500 INDEX

RUSSELL 2000 GROWTH INDEX

Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2001	6.13%	6.69%	0.98	6.13%	7.63%	0.90	36
2002	3.22%	7.82%	0.87	3.22%	8.85%	0.81	17
2003	6.55%	10.24%	0.99	6.55%	12.00%	0.92	18
2004	0.89%	8.72%	0.65	0.89%	9.17%	0.60	21
2005	9.20%	8.84%	1.06	9.20%	9.59%	0.99	36
2006	4.54%	8.77%	0.74	4.54%	8.87%	0.70	38
2007	14.39%	8.39%	1.11	14.39%	9.12%	1.07	47
2008	10.31%	9.64%	0.87	10.31%	10.76%	0.86	55
2009	10.25%	16.95%	0.81	10.25%	18.08%	0.82	21
2010	18.32%	14.11%	1.14	18.32%	14.42%	1.18	25
2011	15.68%	13.54%	1.04	15.68%	12.28%	1.09	20
2012	18.39%	14.89%	1.15	18.39%	13.87%	1.20	19
2013	23.88%	14.91%	1.23	23.88%	14.06%	1.27	23
2014	17.01%	12.79%	1.04	17.01%	9.65%	1.05	37
2015	15.59%	12.13%	0.98	15.59%	10.49%	0.98	38
2016	15.49%	20.82%	0.96	15.49%	25.09%	0.95	41

4

### **Quarterly return**

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)						
1Q 2005	-1.67%	3Q 2008	-2.68%	1Q 2012	3.64%	3Q 2015	0.34%
2Q 2005	0.50%	4Q 2008	-8.70%	2Q 2012	0.45%	4Q 2015	2.46%
3Q 2005	4.90%	1Q 2009	-3.50%	3Q 2012	-0.38%	1Q 2016	-3.17%
4Q 2005	2.87%	2Q 2009	-0.41%	4Q 2012	2.32%	2Q 2016	0.01%
1Q 2006	3.00%	3Q 2009	0.57%	1Q 2013	2.33%	3Q 2016	2.28%
2Q 2006	1.14%	4Q 2009	3.25%	2Q 2013	4.55%	4Q 2016	0.29%
3Q 2006	1.95%	1Q 2010	1.16%	3Q 2013	5.22%	1Q 2017	2.54%
4Q 2006	6.07%	2Q 2010	0.20%	4Q 2013	7.27%	2Q 2017	1.77%
1Q 2007	2.04%	3Q 2010	3.21%	1Q 2014	6.10%	3Q 2017	3.27%
2Q 2007	4.93%	4Q 2010	5.52%	2Q 2014	3.99%	4Q 2017	4.12%
3Q 2007	2.54%	1Q 2011	4.27%	3Q 2014	2.73%	1Q 2018	3.34%
4Q 2007	3.63%	2Q 2011	4.15%	4Q 2014	6.39%		Source: PitchBook. Data as of March 31, 2018
1Q 2008	3.37%	3Q 2011	-0.17%	1Q 2015	4.46%		
2Q 2008	1.50%	4Q 2011	1.60%	2Q 2015	5.99%		

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

## Real assets



### **IRRs by vintage**

### POOLED IRRS

### IRR HURDLE RATES

Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-2001	11.84%	11.42%	31	20.70%	17.00%	9.27%	5.72%	2.00%	8.09%	31
2001	35.75%	34.26%	4		34.98%	30.45%	26.68%		12.48%	4
2002	24.00%	25.93%	5		36.05%	25.00%	16.60%		21.10%	5
2003	19.31%	20.08%	6		30.02%	22.01%	10.80%		11.70%	6
2004	9.62%	8.84%	9		17.00%	11.31%	0.33%		27.00%	9
2005	2.33%	2.74%	32	16.75%	5.62%	0.61%	-2.69%	-7.94%	12.81%	32
2006	-0.75%	-1.01%	38	8.83%	3.37%	-3.28%	-9.30%	-14.24%	10.83%	35
2007	3.20%	3.06%	65	12.55%	9.61%	4.56%	-0.95%	-7.86%	9.20%	62
2008	4.07%	4.65%	61	15.79%	11.18%	4.52%	0.05%	-6.25%	8.80%	57
2009	8.37%	7.63%	33	19.29%	15.11%	9.62%	3.30%	-11.86%	12.51%	32
2010	11.04%	9.82%	36	19.41%	13.20%	10.77%	6.85%	2.40%	9.25%	31
2011	12.01%	10.88%	50	20.98%	17.06%	12.00%	4.60%	-3.95%	11.64%	49
2012	12.36%	12.34%	73	22.70%	17.06%	12.30%	9.74%	5.38%	17.51%	69
2013	12.97%	12.70%	68	18.67%	15.01%	11.76%	7.49%	3.75%	8.06%	58
2014	14.53%	15.66%	81	28.53%	17.79%	12.77%	10.27%	5.54%	11.55%	73
2015	16.89%	16.57%	103	29.30%	18.72%	13.07%	8.43%	4.16%	12.47%	82
2016	16.89%	24.27%	75	33.24%	17.68%	10.90%	-1.58%	-17.97%	76.26%	63

## Multiples by vintage

### **POOLED MULTIPLES**

### EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED MULTIPLES

Vintage year	ΤΥΡΙ	DPI	RVPI	ΤΥΡΙ	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.58x	1.56x	0.02x	1.66x	1.60x	0.07x	31
2001	2.22x	2.17x	0.05x	2.28x	2.17x	0.11x	4
2002	1.63x	1.62x	0.01x	1.68x	1.67x	0.01x	5
2003	1.66x	1.63x	0.03x	1.84x	1.74x	0.10x	6
2004	1.40x	1.39x	0.01x	1.43x	1.39x	0.04x	9
2005	1.14x	1.02x	0.12x	1.17x	1.03x	0.14x	32
2006	0.96x	0.80x	0.16x	0.94x	0.75x	0.19x	38
2007	1.17x	1.05x	0.12x	1.16x	1.01x	0.15x	65
2008	1.18x	0.89x	0.30x	1.22x	0.91x	0.30x	61
2009	1.35x	1.01x	0.33x	1.34x	1.00x	0.35x	33
2010	1.42x	0.98x	0.43x	1.42x	0.88x	0.54x	36
2011	1.43x	0.81x	0.62x	1.41x	0.86x	0.55x	50
2012	1.37x	0.69x	0.68x	1.39x	0.74x	0.65x	73
2013	1.34x	0.50x	0.84x	1.33x	0.45x	0.88x	68
2014	1.27x	0.35x	0.92x	1.33x	0.34x	0.98x	81
2015	1.23x	0.29x	0.94x	1.26x	0.27x	1.00x	103
2016	1.15x	0.18x	0.97x	1.22x	0.31x	0.91x	75

### Multiples by vintage

			TVPI					DPI			
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-2001	2.47x	2.06x	1.49x	1.28x	1.08x	2.42x	1.92x	1.46x	1.28x	1.07x	31
2001		2.86x	2.42x	1.87x			2.48x	2.17x	1.86x		4
2002		2.07x	1.81x	1.41x			2.07x	1.81x	1.38x		5
2003		2.00x	1.67x	1.36x			1.99x	1.66x	1.36x		6
2004		1.91x	1.47x	1.02x			1.64x	1.47x	1.02x		9
2005	1.95x	1.31x	1.01×	0.76x	0.65x	1.73x	1.29x	0.99x	0.62x	0.43x	32
2006	1.68x	1.15x	0.95x	0.56x	0.40x	1.28x	0.98x	0.67x	0.46x	0.18x	38
2007	1.69x	1.44x	1.18x	0.93x	0.52x	1.60x	1.34x	1.08x	0.61x	0.27x	65
2008	1.71x	1.53x	1.22x	1.02x	0.66x	1.53x	1.25x	0.96x	0.63x	0.29x	61
2009	2.01x	1.51x	1.33x	1.16x	0.62x	1.61x	1.33x	1.08x	0.61x	0.28x	33
2010	1.78x	1.65x	1.50x	1.21x	1.01x	1.48x	1.27x	0.86x	0.60x	0.23x	36
2011	1.87x	1.67x	1.45x	1.22x	0.89x	1.62x	1.25x	0.94x	0.45x	0.21x	50
2012	1.78x	1.50x	1.39x	1.26x	1.10x	1.40x	0.97x	0.67x	0.41x	0.11x	73
2013	1.58x	1.45x	1.30x	1.15x	1.04x	1.03x	0.75x	0.28x	0.15x	0.09x	68
2014	1.53x	1.37x	1.24x	1.15x	1.07x	0.78x	0.47x	0.22x	0.09x	0.02x	81
2015	1.43x	1.32x	1.20x	1.12x	1.02x	0.58x	0.38x	0.15x	0.04x	0.00x	103
2016	1.50x	1.25x	1.09x	0.97x	0.87x	0.60x	0.22x	0.08x	0.02x	0.00x	75

### DPI

### PMEs by vintage

### S&P 500 INDEX

### MORNINGSTAR US REAL ASSETS INDEX

Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2001	35.75%	6.69%	1.80	35.75%	6.87%	1.63	4
2002	24.00%	7.82%	1.27	24.00%	6.83%	1.24	5
2003	19.31%	10.24%	1.37	19.31%	6.49%	1.29	6
2004	9.62%	8.72%	1.16	9.62%	5.65%	1.08	9
2005	2.33%	8.84%	0.79	2.33%	5.06%	0.85	32
2006	-0.75%	8.77%	0.65	-0.75%	4.63%	0.73	38
2007	3.20%	8.39%	0.72	3.20%	4.34%	0.91	65
2008	4.07%	9.64%	0.69	4.07%	3.35%	1.00	61
2009	8.37%	16.95%	0.80	8.37%	5.43%	1.19	33
2010	11.04%	14.11%	0.90	11.04%	3.65%	1.30	36
2011	12.01%	13.54%	0.93	12.01%	1.87%	1.37	50
2012	12.36%	14.89%	0.96	12.36%	1.05%	1.34	73
2013	12.97%	14.91%	0.99	12.97%	0.82%	1.30	68
2014	14.53%	12.79%	1.00	14.53%	1.27%	1.24	81
2015	16.89%	12.13%	1.00	16.89%	0.49%	1.20	103
2016	16.89%	20.82%	0.97	16.89%	3.95%	1.13	75

4

### **Quarterly return**

Quarter	1-quarter benchmark return	Quarter	1-quarter benchmark return	Quarter 1-quarter benchmark return		Quarter	1-quarter benchmark return
end	(%)	end	(%)	end	(%)	end	(%)
1Q 2005	1.93%	3Q 2008	-4.92%	1Q 2012	3.40%	3Q 2015	0.23%
2Q 2005	14.70%	4Q 2008	-12.50%	2Q 2012	-0.01%	4Q 2015	-0.54%
3Q 2005	7.85%	1Q 2009	-14.71%	3Q 2012	3.26%	1Q 2016	0.99%
4Q 2005	12.71%	2Q 2009	-7.86%	4Q 2012	1.99%	2Q 2016	3.43%
1Q 2006	3.20%	3Q 2009	-3.80%	1Q 2013	3.18%	3Q 2016	2.90%
2Q 2006	7.65%	4Q 2009	-1.93%	2Q 2013	2.35%	4Q 2016	3.24%
3Q 2006	7.78%	1Q 2010	-2.81%	3Q 2013	2.35%	1Q 2017	3.50%
4Q 2006	19.79%	2Q 2010	0.86%	4Q 2013	4.72%	2Q 2017	2.58%
1Q 2007	1.24%	3Q 2010	4.56%	1Q 2014	2.63%	3Q 2017	3.27%
2Q 2007	1.46%	4Q 2010	9.61%	2Q 2014	3.83%	4Q 2017	2.39%
3Q 2007	3.11%	1Q 2011	4.65%	3Q 2014	3.05%	1Q 2018	3.10%
4Q 2007	6.53%	2Q 2011	4.04%	4Q 2014	-0.06%		Source: PitchBook. Data as of March 31, 2018
1Q 2008	-3.99%	3Q 2011	0.61%	1Q 2015	0.37%		
2Q 2008	-2.02%	4Q 2011	2.53%	2Q 2015	3.71%		

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON





### **IRRs by vintage**

### POOLED IRRS

### **IRR HURDLE RATES**

Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-2001	10.23%	10.70%	10		11.96%	8.45%	5.10%		10.81%	9
2001	27.04%	27.58%	2			27.55%			1.20%	2
2002	23.03%	27.65%	3			17.40%			35.98%	3
2003	12.09%	10.47%	4		16.73%	11.12%	7.66%		11.18%	4
2004	14.61%	13.79%	3			14.03%			2.63%	3
2005	6.13%	5.91%	7		8.53%	5.00%	4.35%		6.65%	7
2006	5.65%	3.60%	14	8.71%	6.84%	3.94%	1.65%	-2.02%	5.55%	14
2007	6.97%	5.95%	20	13.16%	9.78%	7.09%	3.47%	-1.73%	8.93%	20
2008	13.37%	13.85%	14	16.54%	14.92%	13.18%	9.15%	7.60%	3.76%	14
2009	9.95%	9.43%	11	12.61%	12.17%	8.40%	4.94%	2.84%	4.50%	11
2010	11.41%	11.58%	16	17.95%	13.67%	11.26%	8.94%	6.55%	4.42%	16
2011	10.53%	11.28%	18	16.14%	12.00%	10.43%	8.77%	5.30%	4.92%	18
2012	6.58%	8.28%	26	15.84%	13.02%	9.13%	4.41%	2.53%	6.25%	25
2013	6.71%	8.16%	34	13.27%	11.06%	9.48%	6.96%	6.10%	3.62%	30
2014	8.65%	6.72%	41	12.78%	10.94%	8.60%	6.89%	-3.52%	11.39%	34
2015	12.15%	11.48%	47	17.07%	14.39%	11.43%	8.37%	6.63%	5.55%	43
2016	2.16%	2.73%	22	17.09%	13.77%	11.60%	8.43%	5.49%	10.31%	13

#### DEBT

## Multiples by vintage

### POOLED MULTIPLES

### EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED MULTIPLES

Vintage year	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	ΤΥΡΙ	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.56x	1.50x	0.06x	1.63x	1.48x	0.15x	10
2001	2.10x	2.10x	0.00x	2.29x	2.29x	0.00x	2
2002	1.71x	1.71x	0.00x	1.83x	1.83x	0.00x	3
2003	1.69x	1.67x	0.02x	1.56x	1.55x	0.01x	4
2004	1.75x	1.72x	0.03x	1.73x	1.70x	0.03x	3
2005	1.36x	1.33x	0.02x	1.30x	1.26x	0.04x	7
2006	1.38x	1.20x	0.18x	1.21x	1.12x	0.10x	14
2007	1.36x	1.23x	0.13x	1.29x	1.20x	0.09x	20
2008	1.61x	1.54x	0.07x	1.61x	1.57x	0.05x	14
2009	1.43x	1.24x	0.19x	1.38x	1.15x	0.23x	11
2010	1.49x	1.26x	0.23x	1.42x	1.20x	0.22x	16
2011	1.44x	0.95x	0.50x	1.43x	1.05x	0.38x	18
2012	1.22x	0.74x	0.48x	1.28x	0.79x	0.49x	26
2013	1.18x	0.52x	0.66x	1.23x	0.56x	0.66x	34
2014	1.18x	0.31x	0.87x	1.13x	0.35x	0.78x	41
2015	1.17x	0.24x	0.93x	1.17x	0.28x	0.89x	47
2016	1.02x	0.17x	0.84x	1.03x	0.20x	0.83x	22

### Multiples by vintage

			TVPI					DPI			
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-2001	2.41x	1.53x	1.48x	1.09x	0.88x	1.75x	1.49x	1.43x	1.07x	0.88x	10
2001			2.37x					2.37x			2
2002			1.69x					1.69x			3
2003		1.73x	1.53x	1.39x			1.72x	1.53x	1.39x		4
2004			1.65x					1.63x			3
2005		1.50x	1.34x	1.26x			1.47x	1.33x	1.14x		7
2006	1.60x	1.36x	1.19×	1.07x	0.96x	1.41x	1.22x	1.10×	1.04x	0.89x	14
2007	1.71x	1.52x	1.34x	1.19x	0.93x	1.68x	1.47x	1.24x	1.04x	0.81x	20
2008	2.08x	1.72x	1.45x	1.35x	1.23x	1.98x	1.67x	1.43x	1.28x	1.20x	14
2009	1.62x	1.49x	1.31x	1.16x	1.13x	1.53x	1.38x	1.13x	1.07x	0.96x	11
2010	1.73x	1.53x	1.38x	1.21x	1.18x	1.61x	1.41x	1.25x	1.10x	0.67x	16
2011	1.79x	1.61x	1.36x	1.22x	1.12x	1.41x	1.27x	1.07x	0.76x	0.58x	18
2012	1.56x	1.43x	1.25x	1.12x	1.05x	1.20x	1.12x	0.85x	0.61x	0.36x	26
2013	1.40x	1.31x	1.17x	1.11x	0.95x	0.90x	0.77x	0.53x	0.31x	0.23x	34
2014	1.31x	1.22x	1.15x	1.09x	0.84x	0.60x	0.49x	0.30x	0.12x	0.03x	41
2015	1.32x	1.26x	1.18x	1.10x	1.02x	0.58x	0.37x	0.22x	0.13x	0.05x	47
2016	1.18x	1.10x	1.07x	0.94x	0.86x	0.36x	0.28x	0.15x	0.05x	0.01x	22

### DPI

DEBT

### PMEs by vintage

S&P 500 INDEX

### BLOOMBERG BARCLAYS US CORPORATE HIGH YIELD INDEX

Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2001	27.04%	6.69%	1.60	27.04%	7.70%	1.41	2
2002	23.03%	7.82%	1.26	23.03%	8.25%	1.26	3
2003	12.09%	10.24%	1.26	12.09%	8.69%	1.17	4
2004	14.61%	8.72%	1.49	14.61%	7.51%	1.33	3
2005	6.13%	8.84%	1.17	6.13%	7.37%	0.92	7
2006	5.65%	8.77%	0.88	5.65%	7.64%	0.80	14
2007	6.97%	8.39%	1.00	6.97%	7.26%	0.86	20
2008	13.37%	9.64%	1.03	13.37%	8.28%	0.94	14
2009	9.95%	16.95%	0.85	9.95%	12.13%	0.99	11
2010	11.41%	14.11%	0.89	11.41%	7.72%	1.14	16
2011	10.53%	13.54%	0.88	10.53%	6.60%	1.14	18
2012	6.58%	14.89%	0.81	6.58%	6.69%	1.02	26
2013	6.71%	14.91%	0.86	6.71%	5.38%	1.02	34
2014	8.65%	12.79%	0.91	8.65%	4.89%	1.04	41
2015	12.15%	12.13%	0.95	12.15%	5.77%	1.06	47
2016	2.16%	20.82%	0.87	2.16%	12.49%	0.95	22

### DEBT

### **Quarterly return**

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)						
1Q 2005	6.59%	3Q 2008	-7.79%	1Q 2012	-2.38%	3Q 2015	-0.82%
2Q 2005	-3.90%	4Q 2008	-17.38%	2Q 2012	0.60%	4Q 2015	-0.24%
3Q 2005	10.16%	1Q 2009	-4.87%	3Q 2012	5.04%	1Q 2016	1.56%
4Q 2005	5.72%	2Q 2009	10.39%	4Q 2012	3.07%	2Q 2016	1.50%
1Q 2006	2.89%	3Q 2009	11.53%	1Q 2013	4.12%	3Q 2016	4.06%
2Q 2006	8.09%	4Q 2009	7.40%	2Q 2013	2.57%	4Q 2016	1.22%
3Q 2006	0.74%	1Q 2010	5.53%	3Q 2013	2.50%	1Q 2017	1.81%
4Q 2006	8.87%	2Q 2010	0.94%	4Q 2013	2.87%	2Q 2017	1.81%
1Q 2007	3.80%	3Q 2010	1.82%	1Q 2014	3.34%	3Q 2017	2.61%
2Q 2007	8.15%	4Q 2010	7.02%	2Q 2014	2.82%	4Q 2017	2.77%
3Q 2007	-0.76%	1Q 2011	3.54%	3Q 2014	3.06%	1Q 2018	1.40%
4Q 2007	0.29%	2Q 2011	2.57%	4Q 2014	0.14%		Source: PitchBook. Data as of March 31, 201
1Q 2008	-1.42%	3Q 2011	-4.12%	1Q 2015	5.96%		
2Q 2008	-0.79%	4Q 2011	9.42%	2Q 2015	-1.38%		

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

## Fund-of-funds



### **IRRs by vintage**

### POOLED IRRS

#### IRR HURDLE RATES

Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-2001	5.31%	3.78%	21	11.70%	9.35%	3.97%	1.90%	-5.12%	7.78%	20
2001	13.88%	8.58%	7		12.53%	9.86%	6.47%		3.69%	6
2002	8.32%	6.65%	4		8.66%	7.57%	5.79%		2.55%	4
2003	7.68%	5.93%	6		8.41%	6.75%	4.27%		3.87%	6
2004	7.73%	7.55%	11	10.99%	9.29%	7.10%	6.62%	6.20%	2.02%	11
2005	7.10%	7.36%	19	10.41%	8.57%	6.94%	5.14%	4.31%	3.27%	18
2006	8.26%	7.71%	28	12.22%	10.45%	8.30%	6.37%	3.84%	4.21%	27
2007	9.58%	8.62%	31	14.54%	12.23%	10.43%	7.70%	4.98%	4.08%	27
2008	2.96%	11.36%	35	15.31%	14.27%	11.93%	7.79%	4.73%	4.43%	31
2009	13.62%	13.32%	20	17.69%	15.00%	13.38%	10.99%	10.17%	3.04%	20
2010	12.20%	11.99%	33	14.60%	13.82%	12.90%	9.64%	8.17%	3.99%	30
2011	12.84%	13.26%	38	18.99%	16.33%	13.11%	10.69%	7.70%	5.90%	37
2012	13.10%	14.33%	32	20.22%	15.60%	12.43%	8.59%	4.60%	5.87%	30
2013	12.41%	12.30%	47	17.95%	15.45%	12.14%	9.42%	7.81%	5.48%	40
2014	15.02%	13.03%	36	18.35%	16.40%	11.89%	8.80%	6.13%	5.27%	30
2015	17.33%	15.81%	36	32.03%	26.50%	12.57%	7.26%	1.04%	13.28%	29
2016	2.79%	0.61%	21	19.26%	14.56%	8.88%	1.00%	-5.97%	11.50%	17

4

## Multiples by vintage

### POOLED MULTIPLES

### EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED MULTIPLES

Vintage year	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	ΤΥΡΙ	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.32x	1.28x	0.04x	1.24x	1.20x	0.04x	21
2001	1.69x	1.63x	0.06x	1.52x	1.39x	0.13x	7
2002	1.49x	1.37x	0.12x	1.37x	1.25x	0.12x	4
2003	1.59x	1.40x	0.19x	1.42x	1.25x	0.17x	6
2004	1.49x	1.27x	0.22x	1.53x	1.24x	0.29x	11
2005	1.48x	1.21x	0.27x	1.49x	1.18x	0.30x	19
2006	1.57x	1.10x	0.47x	1.55x	1.08x	0.47x	28
2007	1.62x	1.08x	0.54x	1.54x	1.05x	0.49x	31
2008	1.15x	0.62x	0.53x	1.61x	0.77x	0.85x	35
2009	1.67x	0.77x	0.90x	1.65x	0.81x	0.83x	20
2010	1.56x	0.68x	0.88x	1.55x	0.59x	0.96x	33
2011	1.46x	0.47x	0.99x	1.50x	0.46x	1.04x	38
2012	1.42x	0.27x	1.15x	1.47x	0.30x	1.17x	32
2013	1.27x	0.20x	1.07x	1.28x	0.16x	1.12x	47
2014	1.27x	0.23x	1.05x	1.25x	0.21x	1.04x	36
2015	1.21x	0.09x	1.12x	1.22x	0.12x	1.10x	36
2016	1.03x	0.07x	0.96x	1.01x	0.08x	0.92x	21

### Multiples by vintage

ΤΥΡΙ

Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.72x	1.56x	1.24x	1.07x	0.74x	1.68x	1.56x	1.22x	0.95x	0.73x	21
2001		1.73x	1.63x	1.44x			1.65x	1.53x	1.29x		7
2002		1.51x	1.43x	1.29x			1.39x	1.36x	1.22x		4
2003		1.59x	1.52x	1.34x			1.41x	1.35x	1.17x		6
2004	1.65x	1.55x	1.50x	1.43x	1.39x	1.48x	1.36x	1.25x	1.15x	0.94x	11
2005	1.72x	1.59x	1.49x	1.36x	1.28x	1.43x	1.31x	1.16x	1.07x	0.97x	19
2006	1.86x	1.75x	1.55x	1.45x	1.18x	1.24x	1.20x	1.09x	1.04x	0.88x	28
2007	1.95x	1.76x	1.53x	1.38x	1.11x	1.35x	1.17x	1.05x	0.93x	0.61x	31
2008	2.11x	1.79x	1.58x	1.38x	1.17x	1.10x	0.92x	0.83x	0.54x	0.43x	35
2009	1.94x	1.74x	1.60x	1.52x	1.45x	1.13x	1.00x	0.74x	0.67x	0.61x	20
2010	1.78x	1.67x	1.54x	1.44x	1.27x	0.92x	0.77x	0.58x	0.41x	0.29x	33
2011	1.90x	1.62x	1.45x	1.37x	1.16x	0.75x	0.54x	0.44x	0.31x	0.16x	38
2012	1.86x	1.55x	1.39x	1.25x	1.08x	0.67x	0.36x	0.21x	0.13x	0.04x	32
2013	1.44x	1.38x	1.24x	1.17x	1.08x	0.38x	0.23x	0.12x	0.04x	0.01x	47
2014	1.45x	1.32x	1.25x	1.12x	1.04x	0.42x	0.23x	0.12x	0.03x	0.00x	36
2015	1.39x	1.28x	1.16x	1.06x	0.98x	0.24x	0.18x	0.07x	0.01x	0.00x	36
2016	1.18x	1.11x	1.07x	0.96x	0.78x	0.17x	0.09x	0.01x	0.00x	0.00x	21

### DPI

4

### PMEs by vintage

### S&P 500 INDEX

**RUSSELL 3000 INDEX** 

Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2001	13.88%	6.69%	1.20	13.88%	7.05%	1.18	7
2002	8.32%	7.82%	1.07	8.32%	8.15%	1.05	4
2003	7.68%	10.24%	1.04	7.68%	10.56%	1.03	6
2004	7.73%	8.72%	1.00	7.73%	8.89%	0.99	11
2005	7.10%	8.84%	0.93	7.10%	8.99%	0.92	19
2006	8.26%	8.77%	0.88	8.26%	8.76%	0.87	28
2007	9.58%	8.39%	0.88	9.58%	8.39%	0.88	31
2008	2.96%	9.64%	0.60	2.96%	9.74%	0.60	35
2009	13.62%	16.95%	0.95	13.62%	17.10%	0.96	20
2010	12.20%	14.11%	0.93	12.20%	14.05%	0.93	33
2011	12.84%	13.54%	0.95	12.84%	13.25%	0.95	38
2012	13.10%	14.89%	0.98	13.10%	14.67%	0.99	32
2013	12.41%	14.91%	0.96	12.41%	14.59%	0.97	47
2014	15.02%	12.79%	1.01	15.02%	12.16%	1.01	36
2015	17.33%	12.13%	1.00	17.33%	11.66%	1.00	36
2016	2.79%	20.82%	0.85	2.79%	21.18%	0.85	21

### **Quarterly return**

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)						
1Q 2005	1.31%	3Q 2008	-6.71%	1Q 2012	4.75%	3Q 2015	1.59%
2Q 2005	6.00%	4Q 2008	-9.03%	2Q 2012	1.27%	4Q 2015	0.44%
3Q 2005	4.87%	1Q 2009	-2.71%	3Q 2012	0.53%	1Q 2016	1.43%
4Q 2005	6.33%	2Q 2009	-4.40%	4Q 2012	1.67%	2Q 2016	0.79%
1Q 2006	4.13%	3Q 2009	4.37%	1Q 2013	1.25%	3Q 2016	3.51%
2Q 2006	5.87%	4Q 2009	2.22%	2Q 2013	3.52%	4Q 2016	1.35%
3Q 2006	3.95%	1Q 2010	4.47%	3Q 2013	3.26%	1Q 2017	3.76%
4Q 2006	8.62%	2Q 2010	0.39%	4Q 2013	3.82%	2Q 2017	3.43%
1Q 2007	-0.50%	3Q 2010	-4.41%	1Q 2014	3.07%	3Q 2017	3.25%
2Q 2007	10.34%	4Q 2010	4.33%	2Q 2014	6.30%	4Q 2017	2.32%
3Q 2007	2.88%	1Q 2011	3.82%	3Q 2014	1.13%	1Q 2018	4.57%
4Q 2007	3.07%	2Q 2011	5.48%	4Q 2014	2.46%		Source: PitchBook. Data as of March 31, 201
1Q 2008	8.79%	3Q 2011	-2.22%	1Q 2015	3.44%		
2Q 2008	-3.01%	4Q 2011	-0.37%	2Q 2015	6.15%		

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

## Secondaries



### **IRRs by vintage**

### POOLED IRRS

#### IRR HURDLE RATES

Vintage year	Pooled IRR	Equal-weighted pooled IRR	Number of funds	Top decile	Top quartile	Median IRR	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Standard deviation	Number of funds
Pre-2001	12.01%	12.20%	11	25.00%	17.76%	13.16%	6.45%	4.10%	20.40%	11
2001	14.19%	14.80%	2			15.85%			5.20%	2
2002	15.42%	17.20%	3			18.88%			4.54%	3
2003	37.91%	37.91%	1			35.07%				1
2004	14.22%	13.91%	5		23.70%	17.52%	10.26%		9.19%	4
2005	5.99%	4.98%	8		6.71%	6.48%	4.82%		5.14%	8
2006	5.94%	6.77%	9		5.56%	5.16%	4.32%		1.99%	6
2007	6.39%	6.75%	9		9.13%	8.35%	6.37%		4.47%	8
2008	11.59%	11.37%	13	14.70%	12.84%	11.68%	8.45%	5.83%	7.59%	12
2009	13.27%	13.90%	9		15.34%	14.46%	11.14%		7.39%	9
2010	13.56%	12.92%	7		17.36%	14.40%	9.30%		6.08%	7
2011	16.28%	14.10%	10	19.69%	18.08%	15.81%	9.99%	8.14%	4.82%	10
2012	13.33%	15.08%	10	22.25%	20.38%	18.62%	15.46%	12.43%	5.53%	10
2013	11.05%	12.50%	13	23.09%	19.50%	14.95%	10.68%	8.81%	15.70%	12
2014	22.49%	18.06%	13	32.65%	27.02%	22.01%	19.75%	18.84%	6.18%	12
2015	29.34%	29.51%	10	51.18%	42.28%	27.29%	18.26%	14.44%	17.65%	10
2016	38.76%	23.20%	13	41.09%	30.26%	23.70%	14.73%	1.69%	23.12%	12

## Multiples by vintage

### POOLED MULTIPLES

### EQUAL-WEIGHTED POOLED MULTIPLES

Vintage year	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Τνρι	DPI	RVPI	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.47x	1.47x	0.00x	1.43x	1.43x	0.00x	11
2001	1.52x	1.49x	0.03x	1.51x	1.48x	0.03x	2
2002	1.49x	1.47x	0.02x	1.52x	1.48x	0.03x	3
2003	1.85x	1.85x	0.00x	1.85x	1.85x	0.00x	1
2004	1.53x	1.43x	0.10x	1.52x	1.42x	0.10x	5
2005	1.32x	1.20x	0.12x	1.25x	1.12x	0.13x	8
2006	1.33x	1.16x	0.17x	1.40x	1.21x	0.19x	9
2007	1.30x	1.12x	0.17x	1.34x	1.14x	0.20x	9
2008	1.55x	1.25x	0.31x	1.57x	1.20x	0.37x	13
2009	1.55x	1.21x	0.34x	1.59x	1.21x	0.38x	9
2010	1.52x	1.23x	0.29x	1.48x	1.08x	0.40x	7
2011	1.56x	1.09x	0.47x	1.50x	0.79x	0.71x	10
2012	1.45x	0.84x	0.61x	1.41x	0.77x	0.65x	10
2013	1.30x	0.39x	0.91x	1.32x	0.46x	0.86x	13
2014	1.36x	0.46x	0.89x	1.35x	0.31x	1.04x	13
2015	1.31x	0.29x	1.02x	1.36x	0.49x	0.87x	10
2016	1.24x	0.09x	1.15x	1.21x	0.17x	1.04x	13

### Multiples by vintage

			TVPI					DPI			
Vintage year	Top decile	Top quartile	Median TVPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Top decile	Top quartile	Median DPI	Bottom quartile	Bottom decile	Number of funds
Pre-2001	1.74x	1.50x	1.41x	1.27x	1.20x	1.74x	1.50x	1.40x	1.26x	1.20x	11
2001			1.51x					1.48x			2
2002			1.53x					1.47x			3
2003			1.85x					1.85x			1
2004		1.59x	1.57x	1.44x			1.45x	1.44x	1.41x		5
2005		1.35x	1.32x	1.25x			1.28x	1.17x	1.12x		8
2006		1.41x	1.28x	1.24x			1.28x	1.11x	1.08x		9
2007		1.46x	1.38x	1.18x			1.26x	1.22x	0.99x		9
2008	1.71x	1.64x	1.50x	1.39x	1.22x	1.52x	1.40x	1.31x	0.87x	0.83x	13
2009		1.76x	1.60x	1.39x			1.30x	1.23x	1.13x		9
2010		1.63x	1.55x	1.38x			1.32x	1.16x	0.91x		7
2011	1.71x	1.65x	1.54x	1.33x	1.26x	1.18x	1.09x	0.77x	0.62x	0.31x	10
2012	1.59x	1.51x	1.45x	1.30x	1.25x	0.98x	0.95x	0.76x	0.70x	0.62x	10
2013	1.61x	1.47x	1.37x	1.18x	1.08x	0.68x	0.55x	0.43x	0.36x	0.32x	13
2014	1.53x	1.47x	1.38x	1.30x	1.25x	0.60x	0.41x	0.28x	0.22x	0.07x	13
2015	1.49x	1.43x	1.35x	1.25x	1.21x	0.63x	0.44x	0.29x	0.12x	0.04x	10
2016	1.28x	1.26x	1.24x	1.20x	1.06x	0.34x	0.27x	0.08x	0.01x	0.00x	13

### 

4

### PMEs by vintage

### S&P 500 INDEX

**RUSSELL 3000 INDEX** 

Vintage year	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	PitchBook Benchmark return (%)	Index return (%)	KS-PME	Number of funds
2001	14.19%	6.69%	1.18	14.19%	7.05%	1.16	2
2002	15.42%	7.82%	1.22	15.42%	8.15%	1.20	3
2003	37.91%	10.24%	1.57	37.91%	10.56%	1.55	1
2004	14.22%	8.72%	1.21	14.22%	8.89%	1.20	5
2005	5.99%	8.84%	0.93	5.99%	8.99%	0.92	8
2006	5.94%	8.77%	0.90	5.94%	8.76%	0.89	9
2007	6.39%	8.39%	0.83	6.39%	8.39%	0.82	9
2008	11.59%	9.64%	0.90	11.59%	9.74%	0.90	13
2009	13.27%	16.95%	0.95	13.27%	17.10%	0.95	9
2010	13.56%	14.11%	0.98	13.56%	14.05%	0.99	7
2011	16.28%	13.54%	1.05	16.28%	13.25%	1.06	10
2012	13.33%	14.89%	0.96	13.33%	14.67%	0.97	10
2013	11.05%	14.91%	0.95	11.05%	14.59%	0.96	13
2014	22.49%	12.79%	1.11	22.49%	12.16%	1.12	13
2015	29.34%	12.13%	1.12	29.34%	11.66%	1.12	10
2016	38.76%	20.82%	1.10	38.76%	21.18%	1.10	13

### **Quarterly return**

Quarter end	1-quarter benchmark return (%)						
1Q 2005	6.27%	3Q 2008	-0.86%	1Q 2012	3.30%	3Q 2015	1.64%
2Q 2005	4.48%	4Q 2008	-5.17%	2Q 2012	3.03%	4Q 2015	0.06%
3Q 2005	4.44%	1Q 2009	-10.10%	3Q 2012	5.20%	1Q 2016	-0.21%
4Q 2005	1.90%	2Q 2009	-3.91%	4Q 2012	2.50%	2Q 2016	2.61%
1Q 2006	9.25%	3Q 2009	-0.02%	1Q 2013	-0.18%	3Q 2016	1.03%
2Q 2006	4.58%	4Q 2009	0.68%	2Q 2013	0.78%	4Q 2016	2.53%
3Q 2006	4.11%	1Q 2010	1.24%	3Q 2013	2.39%	1Q 2017	3.82%
4Q 2006	6.96%	2Q 2010	6.14%	4Q 2013	4.29%	2Q 2017	4.08%
1Q 2007	3.46%	3Q 2010	5.86%	1Q 2014	3.83%	3Q 2017	3.05%
2Q 2007	10.95%	4Q 2010	5.95%	2Q 2014	3.69%	4Q 2017	4.06%
3Q 2007	8.89%	1Q 2011	8.43%	3Q 2014	3.82%	1Q 2018	3.65%
4Q 2007	4.53%	2Q 2011	4.28%	4Q 2014	2.80%		Source: PitchBook. Data as of March 31, 201
1Q 2008	2.01%	3Q 2011	6.41%	1Q 2015	3.09%		
2Q 2008	-2.56%	4Q 2011	-2.81%	2Q 2015	7.00%		

#### SEATTLE | SAN FRANCISCO | NEW YORK | LONDON

### **PitchBook**

COPYRIGHT © 2018 by PitchBook Data, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, and information storage and retrieval systems—without the express written permission of PitchBook Data, Inc. Contents are based on information from sources believed to be reliable, but accuracy and completeness cannot be guaranteed. Nothing herein should be construed as any past, current or future recommendation to buy or sell any security or an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security. This material does not purport to contain all of the information that a prospective investor may wish to consider and is not to be relied upon as such or used in substitution for the exercise of independent judgment.